arXiv:1703.06451v1 [math.RT] 19 Mar 2017

# ON THE SPECTRUM OF THE LOCAL DESCENTS FOR ORTHOGONAL GROUPS: $p$-ADIC CASE

DIHUA JIANG AND LEI ZHANG

Abstract. We investigate the local descents for special orthogonal groups over $p$-adic local fields of characteristic zero, and obtain explicit spectral decomposition of the local descents at the first occurrence index in terms of the local Langlands data via the explicit local Langlands correspondence. The main result can be regarded as a refinement of the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture ([11]).

## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a group and $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. For any representation $\pi$ of $G$, it is a classical problem that looks for the spectral decomposition of the restriction of $\pi$ from $G$ to $H$. The spectral decomposition problem can also be reformulated in a different way. For a given $\pi$ of $G$ and a subgroup $H$, which representation $\sigma$ of $H$ has the property that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_H(\pi, \sigma) \neq 0? \tag{1.1}$$

And what is the dimension of this Hom-space? When $\pi$ or $H$ is arbitrarily given, such a spectral decomposition is hard to be well understood, and those questions may not have reasonable answers.

When $G$ is a Lie group or more generally a locally compact topological group defined by a reductive algebraic group, one may seek geometric conditions on the pair $(G, H)$ such that the multiplicity $m(\pi, \sigma)$, which is the dimension of the Hom-space in (1.1), is bounded or at most one. In such a circumstance, one may seek invariants attached to $\pi$ and $\sigma$ that detect the multiplicity $m(\pi, \sigma)$. The local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for classical groups $G$ defined over a local field $F$ ([11]) is one of the most successful examples concerning with those

*Date*: March 21, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F70, 22E50; Secondary 11S25, 20G25.

*Key words and phrases.* Restriction and Local Descent, Generic Local Arthur Packet, Local Langlands Correspondence and Local Gan-Gross-Prasad Conjecture.

The research of the first named author is supported in part by the NSF Grants DMS–1301567 and DMS–1600685, and that of the second named author is supported in part by the National University of Singapore's start-up grant.

general questions. When the local field $F$ is a finite extension of the $p$-adic number field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for some prime $p$, the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for orthogonal groups has been completely resolved by the work of J.-L. Waldspurger and of C. Mœglin and Waldspurger ([33], [34], [35], and [27]).

One of the basic notions in the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for orthogonal groups $G$ is the so called *Bessel models*. Over a $p$-adic local field $F$, Bessel models are defined in terms of a special family of twisted Jacquet functors. It is proved through the work of [2], [31], [11], and [21] that the Bessel models over any local fields of characteristic zero are of multiplicity free. The local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture is to detect the multiplicity (which is either 1 or 0) in terms of the sign of the relevant local $\varepsilon$-factors.

Meanwhile, the Bessel models have been widely used in the theory of the Rankin-Selberg method to study families of automorphic $L$-functions and to define the corresponding local $L$-factors and local $\gamma$-factors. In terms of representation theory and the local Langlands functoriality, the Bessel models produce the local descent method, which has been successfully used in the explicit construction of the certain local Langlands functorial transfers for classical groups ([19] and [20]). In the spirit of the Bernstein-Zelevinsky derivatives for irreducible admissible representations of general linear groups over $p$-adic local fields ([7]), the Bessel models can be regarded as a tool to investigate basic properties of irreducible admissible representations of $G(F)$ in general.

For instance, if $G$ is an even special orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}_{2n}$, then the local descents constructed via the family of Bessel models may produce representations on the family of odd special orthogonal groups $\mathrm{SO}_{2m+1}$, whose $F$-ranks should be controlled by the $F$-rank of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n}$ for $m = \delta, \delta+1, \cdots, n-1$, where $\delta$ is equal to the $F$-rank of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n}$ up to $\pm 1$. When $m = n-1$, it is the restriction from $\mathrm{SO}_{2n}$ to $\mathrm{SO}_{2n-1}$, which is the case of the classical problem of *symmetric breaking*. Hence the explicit spectral decomposition when a representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n}(F)$ descends, via the twisted Jacquet functors of Bessel type, to $\mathrm{SO}_{2m+1}(F)$ is an interesting and important problem, and may be considered as a refinement of the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture. The objective of this paper is to obtain explicit spectral decomposition of the local descent for special orthogonal groups over $p$-adic local fields in terms of the explicit local Langlands parameters.

We explain below with more detail. The method is applicable to other classical groups. In some cases, we have to replace the Bessel models by the Fourier-Jacobi models, following the formulation of the

local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture in [11]. The connection of the results in this paper to automorphic forms is considered in the work of the authors [22].

1.1. **Local descents.** Let $F$ be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero, which is a finite extension of $p$-adic number field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for some prime $p$. As in [22] and [3, Chapter 9], we use $G_n^* = \mathrm{SO}(V^*, q_{V^*}^*)$ to denote an $F$-quasisplit special orthogonal group that is defined by a non-degenerate, $\mathfrak{n}$-dimensional quadratic space $(V^*, q^*)$ over $F$ with $n = [\frac{\mathfrak{n}}{2}]$ and use $G_n = \mathrm{SO}(V, q)$ to denote a pure inner $F$-form of $G_n^*$. This means that both quadratic spaces $(V^*, q^*)$ and $(V, q)$ have the same dimension and the same discriminant, as discussed in [11], for instance.

Let $\Pi(G_n)$ be the set of equivalence classes of irreducible smooth representations of $G_n(F)$. It is well-known that any $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ is also admissible. Following [22], we take the polar decomposition of $(V, q)$ to be $V = X^- \oplus V_0 \oplus X^+$, where $(V_0, q_{V_0})$ is the $F$-anisotropic kernel of $(V, q)$. With a suitable choice of the order of the dual bases in $X^-$ and $X^+$, we must have a minimal parabolic subgroup $P_0$ of $G_n$, whose unipotent radical can be realized in the upper triangular matrix form. It is clear that $\mathfrak{r} = \dim X^{\pm}$ is the $F$-rank of $G_n$. For any standard $F$-parabolic subgroup $P = MN$, containing $P_0$, of $G_n$, take a character $\psi_N$ of the unipotent radical $N(F)$ of $P(F)$, which is defined through a non-trivial additive character $\psi_F$ of $F$. One may define the *twisted Jacquet module* for any $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ with respect to $(N, \psi_N)$ to be the quotient

$$\mathcal{J}_{N,\psi_N}(V_\pi) := V/V(N, \psi_N),$$

where $V(N, \psi_N)$ is the span of the subset

$$\{\pi(n)v - \psi_N(n)v \mid \forall v \in V_\pi, \forall n \in N(F)\}.$$

Let $M_{\psi_N}$ is the stabilizer of $\psi_N$ in $M$. Then the twisted Jacquet module $\mathcal{J}_{N,\psi_N}(V_\pi)$ is a smooth representation of $M_{\psi_N}(F)$. In such a generality, one does not have much information about the twisted Jacquet module $\mathcal{J}_{N,\psi_N}(V_\pi)$, as a representation of $M_{\psi_N}(F)$. Following the inspiration of the Bernstein-Zelevinsky theory of derivatives for representations of $p$-adic $\mathrm{GL}_n$ ([7]), the theory of the local descents is to obtain more explicit information about the twisted Jacquet module $\mathcal{J}_{N,\psi_N}(V_\pi)$ in terms of the given $\pi$, for a family of specially chosen data $(N, \psi_N)$.

To introduce the twisted Jacquet modules of Bessel type, we take a partition of the form:

$$\underline{p}_\ell := [(2\ell+1)1^{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell-1}], \tag{1.2}$$

with $0 \leq \ell \leq \mathfrak{r}$. Such a partition $\underline{p}_\ell$ is $G_n$-*relevant* if it corresponds to an $F$-rational unipotent orbit of $G_n$. As in [22], the $F$-stable nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_\ell}$ corresponding to the partition $\underline{p}_\ell$ defines a unipotent subgroup $V_{\underline{p}_\ell}$ of $G_n$, and each $F$-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_\ell$ in the $F$-stable orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_\ell}$ defines a *generic* character $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$ of $V_{\underline{p}_\ell}(F)$. More precisely, there is a standard parabolic subgroup $P_{1^\ell} = M_{1^\ell} N_{1^\ell}$ with the Levi subgroup $M_{1^\ell} \cong \mathrm{GL}_1^{\times \ell} \times G_{n-\ell}$ and $V_{\underline{p}_\ell} = N_{1^\ell}$. The subgroup $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$ is defined to be the identity component of the centralizer of the character $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$ in the reductive part of the normalizer in $G_n$ of the unipotent subgroup $V_{\underline{p}_\ell}$, and hence is the connected subgroup of $G_{n-\ell}$ that stabilizes the character $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$. We define the following subgroup of $G_n$, which is a semi-direct product,

$$R_{\mathcal{O}_\ell} := G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell} \ltimes V_{\underline{p}_\ell}. \tag{1.3}$$

For any $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$, the twisted Jacquet module with respect to the pair $(V_{\underline{p}_\ell}, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell})$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi) = \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(V_\pi) = \mathcal{J}_{V_{\underline{p}_\ell}, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}}(V_\pi) := V_\pi / V_\pi(V_{\underline{p}_\ell}, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}). \tag{1.4}$$

For an irreducible admissible representation $\sigma$ of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)$, the linear functionals we considered in this paper belong to the following Hom-space

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)}(\pi \otimes \sigma^\vee, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}), \tag{1.5}$$

where $\sigma^\vee$ is the admissible dual of $\sigma$. This is usually called the space of local Bessel functionals. The uniqueness of local Bessel functionals asserts that

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{R_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)}(\pi \otimes \sigma^\vee, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}) \leq 1. \tag{1.6}$$

This was proved in [2], [31], [11], and [21]. It is clear that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)}(\pi \otimes \sigma^\vee, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)}(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi), \sigma).$$

It is natural to figure out whether the module $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)$ has an irreducible quotient. The local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture is to determine such a $\sigma$ by means of the sign of the epsilon factor for the pair $(\pi, \sigma)$. One of the main results of this paper is to find all possible $\sigma$'s for a given $\pi$, when the index $\ell$ is the first occurrence index (given in Definition 1.3). We refer to the next section in this introduction for definitions and more details.

In order to understand all possible irreducible quotients, we introduce a notion of the $\ell$-th *maximal quotient* of $\pi$ if $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ is nonzero.

Define

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi) := \cap_{\mathfrak{l}_\sigma} \ker(\mathfrak{l}_\sigma), \tag{1.7}$$

where the intersection is taken over all local Bessel functionals $\mathfrak{l}_\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi), \sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in \Pi(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell})$. The $\ell$-th maximal quotient of $\pi$ is defined to be

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi) := \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)/\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi). \tag{1.8}$$

Of course, if $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ is zero, we define $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ to be zero. In this paper, we study this quotient for irreducible admissible representations $\pi$ of $G_n(F)$ with generic local $L$-parameters, the definition of which will be explicitly given in Section 2.1. Since we mainly discuss the local situation, we may call the local $L$-parameters *the $L$-parameters* for simplicity.

**Proposition 1.1.** *For any $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ with a generic $L$-parameter, if the twisted Jacquet module $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ is non-zero, then there exists a $\sigma \in \Pi(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell})$ such that*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)}(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi), \sigma) \neq 0.$$

*Namely, the twisted Jacquet module $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ of $\pi$ is non-zero if and only if the $\ell$-th maximal quotient $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ of $\pi$ is non-zero.*

Proposition 1.1 follows from Lemma 3.1 in Section 3. By Proposition 1.1, if $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ is non-zero, then the $\ell$-th maximal quotient $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ is non-zero. In this situation, we set

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi) := \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi) \tag{1.9}$$

and call $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ the $\ell$-th *local descent* of $\pi$ (with respect to some $F$-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_\ell$). The theory of the local descents is to understand the structure of the $\ell$-th local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ as a representation of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)$, in particular, in the situation when $\ell$ is the first occurrence index. In order to define the notion of the *first occurrence*, we prove the following *stability* of the local descents.

**Proposition 1.2.** *For any $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ with a generic $L$-parameter, if there exists an $\ell_1$ such that the $\ell_1$-th local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_1}}(\pi)$ is non-zero, then for every $\ell \leq \ell_1$, the $\ell$-th local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ is non-zero.*

Proposition 1.2 follows essentially from the relation between multiplicity and parabolic induction as discussed in Section 2.4 and will be included in Proposition 3.3 on the stability of the local descents in Section 3.

**Definition 1.3** (First Occurrence Index). *For $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$, the first occurrence index $\ell_0 = \ell_0(\pi)$ of $\pi$ is the integer $\ell_0$ in $\{0, 1, \dots, \mathfrak{r}\}$ where $\mathfrak{r}$ is the $F$-rank of $G_n$, such that the twisted Jacquet module $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ is nonzero for some $F$-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}$, but for any $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, \mathfrak{r}\}$ with $\ell > \ell_0$, the twisted Jacquet module $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ is zero for every $F$-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_\ell$ associated to the partition $\underline{p}_\ell$ as defined in (1.2).*

It is clear that the definition of the first occurrence index is also applicable to the representations $\pi$ that may not be irreducible. At the first occurrence index, we define the notion of the local descent of $\pi$.

**Definition 1.4** (Local Descent). *For any given $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$, assume that $\ell_0$ is the first occurrence index of $\pi$. The $\ell_0$-th local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ of $\pi$ is called the first local descent of $\pi$ (with respect to $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}$) or simply the local descent of $\pi$, which is the $\ell_0$-th nonzero maximal quotient*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi) := \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$$

*for the $F$-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}$ associated to the partition $\underline{p}_{\ell_0}$ as defined in (1.2).*

It is clear that such an $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}$ always exists by the definition of $\ell_0$, but may not be unique. Also, when $G_n = G_n^*$ is $F$-quasisplit and $\pi$ is generic, i.e. has a nonzero Whittaker model, the first occurrence index is clearly $\ell_0 = n = [\frac{\mathfrak{n}}{2}]$, where $\mathfrak{n} = \dim V^*$. The discussion related to the first occurrence index in this paper will exclude this trivial case.

1.2. **Main results.** The main results of the paper are about the spectral properties of the $\ell$-th local descents of the irreducible smooth representations of $G_n(F)$ with generic $L$-parameters. At the first occurrence index, the spectral properties of the local descents are explicit.

**Theorem 1.5** (Square Integrability). *Assume that $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ has a generic $L$-parameter. The local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ is square-integrable, where $\ell_0 = \ell_0(\pi)$ is the first occurrence index of $\pi$.*

The proof of Theorem 1.5 depends on the following weaker result about the $\ell$-th local descent for general $\ell$.

**Theorem 1.6** (Irreducible Tempered Quotient). *For any $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ with a generic $L$-parameter, and for any $\ell \in \{0, 1, \cdots, \mathfrak{r}\}$ with $\mathfrak{r}$ being the $F$-rank of $G_n$, if the twisted Jacquet module $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ is nonzero, then $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ has a tempered irreducible quotient as a representation of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)$, and so does the $\ell$-th local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$. In other words, if $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi) \neq 0$, then there exists a $\sigma \in \Pi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell})$ such that*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)}(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi), \sigma) = \mathrm{Hom}_{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi), \sigma) \neq 0.$$

One of the important ingredients in the proof of this theorem is the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for orthogonal groups ([11]), as proved by Waldspurger ([33], [34] and [35]) for tempered $L$-parameters, and by Mœglin and Waldspurger ([27]) with generic $L$-parameters. We refer to Section 3 for the details.

In such a generality, if the representation $\pi$ in Theorem 1.6 is unramified, then for any index $\ell$, the $\ell$-th local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ has a tempered, unramified irreducible quotient. This result supports strongly the *Generic Summand Conjecture* (Conjecture 2.3 in [22] and Conjecture 2.4 in [23]), which asserts that for any irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G_n$ with a generic global Arthur parameter, its global descent at the first occurrence index is cuspidal and contains at least one irreducible summand that has a generic global Arthur parameter. This assertion serves a base for the construction of explicit modules for irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of general classical groups with generic global Arthur parameters as developed in [22] and [23]. We will discuss the global impact of the results obtained in this paper to the Generic Summand Conjecture in [22] and [23] in our future work.

From the setup of the local descents, the theory is closely related to the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture ([11]). By applying the theorems of Mœglin and Waldspurger on the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for generic $L$-parameters, and by explicit calculations of local $L$-parameters and the relevant local root numbers via the local Langlands correspondence in the situation considered here, we are able to obtain the following explicit spectral decomposition for the local descent at the first occurrence index. In order to state the result, we briefly explain the notation used in the theorem and leave the details in Sections 2 and 4.

Write $\mathcal{Z} := F^\times/F^{\times 2}$. After fixing a rationality of the local Langlands correspondence $\iota_a$ as in Section 2.2, when a $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ is determined by the parameter $(\varphi, \chi)$, the abelian group $\mathcal{Z}$ acts on the dual $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\varphi$ of $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\pi)$ the $\mathcal{Z}$-orbit in $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\varphi$ determined by $\pi$ (see (2.17)). Denote by $[\varphi]_c$ the pair of the local $L$-parameters which are conjugate to each other via an element $c$ in $\mathrm{O}(V_{\mathfrak{n}})$ with $\det(c) = -1$. In Definition 2.7, we introduce the notion of the descent $\mathfrak{D}_\ell(\varphi, \chi)$ and of the first occurrence index $\ell_0 = \ell_0(\varphi, \chi)$ for the local parameters $(\varphi, \chi)$. The basic structure of the descent $\mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi, \chi)$ at the first occurrence index is given in Proposition 4.7.

**Theorem 1.7** (Spectral Decomposition)**.** *Fix a local Langlands correspondence $\iota_a$ and assume that $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ is associated to an equivalence class $[\varphi]_c$ of generic $L$-parameters.*

(1) *The first occurrence index of $\pi$ is determined by the first occurrence index of the local parameters via the formula*

$$\ell_0(\pi) = \min_{\chi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\pi)} \{\ell_0([\varphi]_c, \chi)\}.$$

(2) *The local descent of $\pi$ at the first occurrence index $\ell_0 = \ell_0(\pi)$ can be explicitly given below.*

(a) *When $\mathfrak{n} = 2n$ is even and for $\chi_a(\pi) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\pi)$*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\ell_0,a}(\pi) = \bigoplus_{\phi \in \mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi, \chi_a(\pi))} \pi(\phi, \chi_\phi^\star(\varphi, \phi)),$$

*where $a$ is given in (2.16), and the quadratic space $W$ defining $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}$ is given by $\mathrm{disc}(W) = -a \cdot \mathrm{disc}(V_{\mathfrak{n}})$ and Equation (2.26).*

(b) *When $\mathfrak{n} = 2n+1$ is odd,*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\ell_0,a}(\pi) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\phi \in \mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi, \chi) \\ \det(\phi) = a \cdot \mathrm{disc}(V_{\mathfrak{n}})}} \pi_{-a}(\phi, \chi_\phi^\star(\varphi, \phi)),$$

*where the quadratic space defining $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}$ is given by Equations (2.25) and (2.27).*

Some comments on Theorem 1.7 are in order.

In terms of the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture ([11]), the spectral decomposition as given in Theorem 1.7 can be interpreted as follows. For any $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ with a generic $L$-parameter, at the first occurrence index, the spectral decomposition explicitly determines in terms of the local Langlands data of all possible irreducible representations $\sigma$ of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(F)$ that form the distinguished pair with the given $\pi$ as required by the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture. Meanwhile, this spectral decomposition indicates that for such a given $\pi$, if a $\sigma \in \Pi(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}})$ can be paired with $\pi$ as in the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture, then $\sigma$ must be square integrable. Hence Theorem 1.7 and Corollary 4.11 can be regarded as a refinement of the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture.

On the other hand, from the Arthur-Burger-Sarnak principle as formulated by L. Clozel in [9] and [10], the spectral decomposition in Theorem 1.7 can be understood as a non-reductive version of the principle with much more explicit information. Hence it also supports the Generic Summand Conjecture in [22] and [23].

It is also interesting to compare briefly Theorem 1.7 with the local descent of the first named author and Soudry ([19] and [20], see also [18]). For instance, one takes $G_n = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}$, which is $F$-split. Let $\tau$ be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}(F)$, which is of symplectic type, i.e. the local exterior square $L$-function of $\tau$ has a pole at $s = 0$. The local descent in [19] and [18] is to take $\pi = \pi(\tau, 2)$ to be the unique Langlands quotient of the induced representation of $\mathrm{SO}_{4n}(F)$ with supercuspidal support $(\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, \tau)$. According to the endoscopic classification of Arthur ([3]), $\pi = \pi(\tau, 2)$ has a non-generic (non-tempered) local Arthur parameter $(\tau, 1, 2)$, and has the local $L$-parameter $\phi_\tau|\cdot|^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \phi_\tau|\cdot|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, where $\phi_\tau$ is the local $L$-parameter of $\tau$. Now the local descent in [19] and [18] shows that $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\pi)$ with $\ell_0 = n - 1$ being the first occurrence index is an irreducible generic supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(F)$ with the generic local Arthur parameter $(\tau, 1, 1)$ or the local $L$-parameter $\phi_\tau$. In this case, $\tau$ is the image of $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\pi)$ under the local Langlands functorial transfer from $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}$ to $\mathrm{GL}_{2n}$. The result is even simpler than Theorem 1.7 as expected. However, from the point of view of the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture, the result in [19] and [18] can be viewed as a case of the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for non-tempered local Arthur parameters. Hence the work to extend Theorem 1.7 to the representations with general local Arthur parameters is closely related to the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for non-tempered local Arthur parameters. This is definitely a very interesting topic, but we will not discuss it with any more details in this paper.

Finally, it is possible to reformulate Theorem 1.7 in terms of algebraic stacks as explained by J. Bernstein in [6]. We try to omit the discussion in this aspect here and leave it for our future work.

Theorems 1.5 and 1.7 will be proved in Section 4, not only using the result of Theorem 1.6, but also using the proof of Theorem 1.6 in Section 3, with refinement. Moreover, in order to keep tracking the behavior of the local $L$-parameters and the sign of the local root numbers with the local descents, we introduce the notion of the *descent of local L-parameters*, $\mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi, \chi)$, in Definition 2.7, whose properties are given in Proposition 4.7.

1.3. **The structure of the proofs and the paper.** The local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture ([11]) as proved in [33], [34], [35] and [27] is the starting point and the technical backbone of the discussion of this paper. We recall it in Section 2. In order to fix the $F$-rationality of the local $L$-parameters and the $F$-rationality of the local descents,

we reformulate the local Langlands correspondence and the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture in terms of the basic rationality data given by the underlying quadratic forms and quadratic spaces. This is discussed in Section 2.2. It is clear that one might formulate such a rationality in terms of the notion of rigid inner forms as discussed by T. Kaletha in [25]. Due to the nature of the current paper, the authors thought that it is more convenient and more direct to use the formulation in Section 2.2. In addition to the local Langlands correspondence as proved by Arthur in [3], we need the result for special even orthogonal groups as discussed by H. Atobe and W. T. Gan in [4].

We start to prove Theorem 1.6 in Section 3. First we show (Lemma 3.1) that for any $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$, if the twisted Jacquet module $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ of Bessel type is nonzero, then it has an irreducible quotient. Then by applying the relation of multiplicity with parabolic induction (Proposition 2.6 as proved by Mœglin and Waldspurger [27]), we obtain (Proposition 3.2) the relation of the first occurrence index with parabolic induction and the result that for any $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ with generic $L$-parameters, every irreducible quotient of the local descent at the first occurrence index is tempered. It is clear that Proposition 3.2 is one step towards Theorem 1.5. Finally, Theorem 1.6 follows from the stability of local descents (Proposition 3.3) and its proof.

In Section 4, we prove Theorems 1.5 and 1.7. They will be proved together and the proofs are more arithmetic in nature. First, we calculate explicitly the local epsilon factors associated to a local $L$-parameter or a pair of local $L$-parameters, which serve the base of the proof for both Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.7. Then we prove (Proposition 4.5) that for any $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ with generic $L$-parameters, all the irreducible quotients of the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ at the first occurrence index $\ell_0 = \ell_0(\pi)$ are square integrable. In order to show that the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ is in fact square integrable, we have to keep tracking the local Langlands data through the process of local descents. With help of the theorem of Mœglin and Waldspurger on the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for orthogonal groups with generic $L$-parameters ([27]) and the explicit local Langlands correspondence, we undertake a long tedious calculation of the characters that parameterize the distinguished pair of representations as given by the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture and determine the descent of the local $L$-parameters. Hence we determine in Proposition 4.7 all the local $L$-parameters occurring the descent of the local $L$-parameter associated to the given representation $\pi$ we start with. The point is that all the local $L$-parameters occurring in the descent of local $L$-parameters are discrete local $L$-parameters.

With this result at hand, we know the local $L$-parameters for all the irreducible quotients of the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$. Finally we use the structure of the Bernstein components of the local descent to prove (Proposition 4.10) that the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ is in fact a multiplicity free direct sum of irreducible square integrable representations and hence it is square integrable. This proves Theorem 1.5. Theorem 1.7 that gives explicit spectral decomposition of the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ can now be deduced from Theorem 1.5 and Proposition 4.7. At the end of Section 4, we provide even more explicit results for two special families of generic local $L$-parameters. One is the local cuspidal $L$-parameters as defined by A.-M. Aubert, A. Moussaoui, and M. Solleveld in [5] (where they are called the local cuspidal Langlands parameters), and the other is the local discrete unipotent $L$-parameters that correspond to the discrete unipotent representations in the sense of G. Lusztig ([26]).

*Acknowledgements.* The authors are grateful to Raphaël Beuzart-Plessis for his help related to the proof of Lemma 3.1 (2), and to Anne-Marie Aubert for her very helpful comments and suggestions on the first version of this paper, which make the paper read more smoothly. Part of the work in this paper was done during a visit of the authors at the IHES in May, 2016. They would also like to thank the IHES for hospitality and to thank Michael Harris for his warm invitation.

## 2. On the Local Gan-Gross-Prasad Conjecture

2.1. **Generic local $L$-parameters and local Vogan packets.** We recall from [27] the notion of the generic local $L$-parameters and their structures. Without the assumption of the generalized Ramanujan conjecture, the localization of the generic global Arthur parameters ([3]) will be examples of the generic local $L$-parameters discussed in [27]. We denote by $\Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(G_n^*)$ the set of conjugate classes of the generic local $L$-parameters of $G_n^*$. We may simply call $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(G_n^*)$ the *generic $L$-parameters* since we only consider the local situation in this paper.

Let $F$ be a $p$-adic local field of characteristic zero. We denote by $\mathcal{W}_F$ the local Weil group of $F$. The local Langlands group of $F$, which is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_F$, is equal to the local Weil-Deligne group $\mathcal{W}_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. The local $L$-parameters for $G_n^*(F)$ are of the form

$$\phi \ : \ \mathcal{L}_F \to {}^L G_n^* \tag{2.1}$$

with the property that the restriction of $\phi$ to the local Weil group $\mathcal{W}_F$ is Frobenius semisimple and trivial on an open subgroup of the inertia group $\mathcal{I}_F$ of $F$, and the restriction to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is algebraic. By

the local Langlands conjecture for general linear groups ([16] and [15]), the members $\phi \in \Phi_{\rm gen}(G_n^*)$ are the local $L$-parameters with the following properties: there exists a datum $(L^*, \phi^{L^*}, \underline{\beta})$, for each given $\phi \in \Phi_{\rm gen}(G_n^*)$, such that

(1) $L^*$ is a Levi subgroup of $G_n^*(F)$ of the form
$$L^* = {\rm GL}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times {\rm GL}_{n_t} \times G_{n_0}^*;$$
(2) $\phi^{L^*}$ is a local $L$-parameter of $L^*$ given by
$$\phi^{L^*} := \phi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi_t \otimes \phi_0 \ : \ \mathcal{L}_F \to {}^L L^*,$$
where $\phi_j$ is a local tempered $L$-parameter of ${\rm GL}_{n_j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, t$, and $\phi_0$ is a local tempered $L$-parameter of $G_{n_0}^*$;
(3) $\underline{\beta} := (\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_t) \in \mathbb{R}^t$, such that $\beta_1 > \beta_2 > \cdots > \beta_t > 0$; and
(4) the parameter $\phi$ can be expressed as
$$\phi = (\phi_1 \otimes |\cdot|^{\beta_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\phi_t \otimes |\cdot|^{\beta_t}) \otimes \phi_0.$$

Following [3] and [27], the local $L$-packets can be formed for all $L$-parameters $\phi$ as displayed above, and are denoted by $\Pi_\phi(G_n^*)$. All the members in such local $L$-packets are irreducible. Note that the situation here is more general than that considered in [3] and hence the members in a generic local $L$-packet may not be unitary. By [27], the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture, which may be called the local GGP conjecture for short, holds for all generic $L$-parameters $\phi \in \Phi_{\rm gen}(G_n^*)$.

Recall that an $F$-quasisplit special orthogonal group $G_n^* = {\rm SO}(V^*, q^*)$ and its pure inner $F$-forms $G_n = {\rm SO}(V, q)$ share the same $L$-group ${}^L G_n^*$. As explained in [11, Section 7], if the dimension $\mathfrak{n} = \dim V = \dim V^*$ is odd, one may take ${\rm Sp}_{\mathfrak{n}-1}(\mathbb{C})$ to be the $L$-group ${}^L G_n^*$, and if the dimension $\mathfrak{n} = \dim V = \dim V^*$ is even, one may take ${\rm O}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{C})$ to be ${}^L G_n^*$ when ${\rm disc}(V^*)$ is not a square in $F^\times$ and take ${\rm SO}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{C})$ to be ${}^L G_n^*$ when ${\rm disc}(V^*)$ is a square in $F^\times$. Let $S_\phi$ be the centralizer of the image of $\phi$ in ${\rm SO}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{C})$ or ${\rm Sp}_{\mathfrak{n}-1}(\mathbb{C})$, and $S_\phi^\circ$ be its identity connected component group. Define the component group $\mathcal{S}_\phi := S_\phi / S_\phi^\circ$, which is an abelian 2-group.

By Theorem 1.5.1 of [3], and its extension to the generic $L$-parameters in $\Phi_{\rm gen}(G_n^*)$ in [27], the local $L$-packets $\Pi_\phi(G_n^*)$ are of multiplicity free and there exists a bijection

$$\pi \ \mapsto < \cdot, \pi >= \chi_\pi(\cdot) = \chi(\cdot) \tag{2.2}$$

between the finite set $\Pi_\phi(G_n^*)$ and the dual $\widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi}$ of the finite abelian 2-group $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ associated to $\phi$. Following the Whittaker normalization of Arthur, the trivial character $\chi$ corresponds to a generic member in the local $L$-packet $\Pi_\phi(G_n^*)$ with a chosen Whittaker character. There is an

$F$-rationality issue on which the bijection may depend. We will discuss this issue explicitly in Section 2.2. Under the bijection in (2.2), we may write

$$\pi = \pi(\phi, \chi) \tag{2.3}$$

in a unique way for each member $\pi \in \Pi_\phi(G_n^*)$ with $\chi = \chi_\pi$ as in (2.2). For any pure inner $F$-forms of $G_n$ of $G_n^*$, the same formulation works ([3], [25]). The local Vogan packet associated to any generic $L$-parameter $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(G_n^*)$ is defined to be

$$\Pi_\phi[G_n^*] := \cup_{G_n} \Pi_\phi(G_n) \tag{2.4}$$

where $G_n$ runs over all pure inner $F$-forms of the given $F$-quasisplit $G_n^*$. The $L$-packet $\Pi_\phi(G_n)$ is defined to be *empty* if the parameter $\phi$ is not $G_n$-relevant.

According to the structure of the generic $L$-parameter $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(G_n^*)$, one may easily figure out the structure of the abelian 2-group $\mathcal{S}_\phi$. Write

$$\phi = \oplus_{i \in \mathrm{I}} m_i \phi_i, \tag{2.5}$$

which is the decomposition of $\phi$ into irreducible ones. The irreducible local $L$-parameter $\phi_i$ can be written as $\rho_i \boxtimes \mu_{b_i}$, where $\rho_i$ is an $a_i$-dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathcal{W}_F$ and $\mu_{b_i}$ is the irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of dimension $b_i$. We denote by $\Phi_{\mathrm{irr}}(G_n^*)$ the set of irreducible local $L$-parameters of $G_n^*$. In the decomposition (2.5), $\phi_i$ is called *of good parity* if $\phi_i \in \Phi_{\mathrm{irr}}(G_{n_i}^*)$ with $G_{n_i}^*$ being the same type as $G_n^*$ where $n_i := [\frac{a_i b_i}{2}]$. We denote by $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{gp}}$ the subset of I consisting of indexes $i$ such that $\phi_i$ is of good parity; and by $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{bp}}$ the subset of I consisting of indexes $i$ such that $\phi_i$ is self-dual, but not of good parity. We set $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{nsd}} := \mathrm{I} - (\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{gp}} \cup \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{bp}})$ for the indexes of non-self-dual $\phi_i$'s. Hence we may write $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(G_n^*)$ in the following more explicit way:

$$\phi = (\oplus_{i \in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{gp}}} m_i \phi_i) \oplus (\oplus_{j \in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{bp}}} 2m_j \phi_j) \oplus (\oplus_{k \in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{nsd}}} m_k (\phi_k \oplus \phi_k^\vee)). \tag{2.6}$$

According to this explicit decomposition, it is easy to know that

$$\mathcal{S}_\phi \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{\#\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{gp}}} \text{ or } \mathbb{Z}_2^{\#\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{gp}}-1}. \tag{2.7}$$

The latter case occurs if $G_n$ is even orthogonal, and some orthogonal summand $\phi_i$ for $i \in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{gp}}$ has odd dimension.

In all cases, when $G_n$ is even or odd orthogonal, for any $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(G_n^*)$, we write elements of $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ in the following form

$$(e_i)_{i \in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{gp}}} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\#\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{gp}}}, \text{ (or simply denoted by } (e_i)) \tag{2.8}$$

where each $e_i$ corresponds to $\phi_i$-component in the decomposition (2.6) for $i \in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{gp}}$. The component group $\mathrm{Cent}_{\mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\phi)/\mathrm{Cent}_{\mathrm{O}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\phi)^\circ$ is denoted by $A_\phi$. Then $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ consists of elements in $A_\phi$ with determinant

1, which is a subgroup of index 1 or 2. Also write elements in $A_\phi$ of form $(e_i)$ where $e_i \in \{0,1\}$ corresponds to the $\phi_i$-component in the decomposition (2.6) for $i \in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{gp}}$. When $G_n$ is even orthogonal and some $\phi_i$ for $i \in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{gp}}$ has odd dimension, then $(e_i)_{i\in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{gp}}}$ is in $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ if and only if $\sum_{i\in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{gp}}} e_i = 0$. Note that $(1)_{i\in \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{gp}}}$ is the lifting in $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ of the central element $-1$ in $\hat{G}_n(\mathbb{C})$, which is denoted as $-1$ in [11].

An $L$-parameter $\phi$ is of orthogonal type (resp. symplectic type) if its image $\mathrm{Im}(\phi)$ lies in $\mathrm{O}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\mathbb{C})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$). In this paper, a self-dual $L$-parameter refers to be of either orthogonal type or symplectic type. Let $\rho$ be an irreducible smooth representation of $\mathcal{W}_F$, which is Frobenius semisimple and trivial on an open subgroup of the inertia group $\mathcal{I}_F$ of $F$. Similarly, $\rho$ is of orthogonal type (resp. symplectic type) if $\rho \boxtimes 1$ is of orthogonal type (resp. symplectic type). In this case, $\rho \boxtimes 1$ is a discrete $L$-parameter.

2.2. **Rationality and the local Langlands correspondence.** We intend to fix the $F$-rationality of the local Langlands correspondence for $G_n = \mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathfrak{n}})$ in terms of the $F$-rationality of the underlying quadratic space $(V_{\mathfrak{n}}, q_{\mathfrak{n}})$. When more quadratic spaces get involved in the discussion, we denote by $q_{\mathfrak{n}}$ the quadratic form of $V_{\mathfrak{n}}$, which was simply denoted by $q$ before.

Define the discriminant of the quadratic space $V_{\mathfrak{n}}$ by

$$\mathrm{disc}(V_{\mathfrak{n}}) = (-1)^{\frac{\mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{n}-1)}{2}} \det(V_{\mathfrak{n}}) \in F^\times/F^{\times 2}$$

and, similar to [29, Page 167], define the Hasse invariant of $V_{\mathfrak{n}}$ by

$$\mathrm{Hss}(V_{\mathfrak{n}}) = \prod_{1\le i\le j\le \mathfrak{n}} (\alpha_i, \alpha_j),$$

where $V_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is decomposed as $Fv_1 \oplus Fv_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Fv_{\mathfrak{n}}$ with $q_{\mathfrak{n}}(v_i, v_i) = \alpha_i \in F^\times$. According to this definition, if $V_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is decomposed as $V_{\mathfrak{n}} = W \oplus U$, we have, by Remark 58:3 [29], the following formulas:

(2.9)
$$\mathrm{disc}(V_{\mathfrak{n}}) = (-1)^{ab}\mathrm{disc}(W)\mathrm{disc}(U)$$

(2.10)
$$\mathrm{Hss}(V_{\mathfrak{n}}) = \mathrm{Hss}(W)\mathrm{Hss}(U)((-1)^{\frac{a(a-1)}{2}}\mathrm{disc}(W), (-1)^{\frac{b(b-1)}{2}}\mathrm{disc}(U))$$

where $a = \dim W$ and $b = \dim U$.

Recall that for each $F$-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_\ell$, the non-degenerate character $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$ is parametrized by an anisotropic line in $V_{\mathfrak{n}}$ generated by an anisotropic vector $w_0$, and hence can be denoted by $\psi_{\ell,w_0}$. Let

$P_{\hat{\ell}}$ be the standard maximal parabolic subgroup containing $P_{1^\ell}$ (defined in Section 1.1), which stabilizes the totally isotropic subspace $V_\ell^+$ of $X^+$. Denote $V_\ell^- \subseteq X^-$ to be the dual space of $V_\ell^+$. Then $w_0 \in W_\ell := (V_\ell^+ \oplus V_\ell^-)^\perp$. More details can be found in Section 2 [22]. Define

$$\mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{O}_\ell) := \mathrm{disc}(V_\ell^+ \oplus Fw_0 \oplus V_\ell^-)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hss}(\mathcal{O}_\ell) := \mathrm{Hss}(V_\ell^+ \oplus Fw_0 \oplus V_\ell^-).$$

Since the quadratic space $V_\ell^+ \oplus Fw_0 \oplus V_\ell^-$ is split, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{O}_\ell) &= q_{\mathfrak{n}}(w_0, w_0), \\ \mathrm{Hss}(\mathcal{O}_\ell) &= (-1,-1)^{\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2}}((-1)^{\ell+1}, \mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{O}_\ell)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $W_\ell$ is the quadratic space defining the subgroup $G_{n-\ell}$ of the Levi subgroup $M_{1^\ell}$. We have the decomposition

$$V_{\mathfrak{n}} = (V_\ell^+ \oplus Fw_0 \oplus V_\ell^-) \oplus (w_0^\perp \cap W_\ell). \tag{2.11}$$

Then one has $\mathrm{disc}(w_0^\perp \cap W_\ell) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{n}-1}\mathrm{disc}(V_{\mathfrak{n}})\mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{O}_\ell)$, and it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Hss}(w_0^\perp \cap W_\ell) \\ =&(-1,-1)^{\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2}}\mathrm{Hss}(V_{\mathfrak{n}})((-1)^\ell \mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{O}_\ell), (-1)^{\frac{\mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{n}-1)}{2}+\ell}\mathrm{disc}(V_{\mathfrak{n}})). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

In order to fix the $F$-rationality of the local Langlands correspondence, we adopt the **(QD) condition** of Waldspurger in [35, Page 119]:

(QD) *The special even orthogonal group of $(V_{\mathfrak{n}}, q_{\mathfrak{n}}) \oplus (F, q_0)$ is split, where $(F, q_0)$ is the one-dimensional quadratic space with $q_0(x, y) = -a \cdot xy$.*

Here $a \in \mathcal{Z}$ will be specified later. In [35], $a$ is denoted $2\nu_0$.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that $\mathfrak{n}$ is even. Then $(V_{\mathfrak{n}}, q_{\mathfrak{n}})$ satisfies* (QD) *if and only if*

$$\mathrm{Hss}(V_{\mathfrak{n}}) = (-1,-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}((-1)^n a, \mathrm{disc}(V_{\mathfrak{n}})), \tag{2.13}$$

*where $n = \frac{\mathfrak{n}}{2}$.*

*Proof.* Write $V' = (V_{\mathfrak{n}}, q_{\mathfrak{n}}) \oplus (F, q_0)$. Since $\mathrm{disc}(V') = -a \cdot \mathrm{disc}(V_{\mathfrak{n}})$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hss}(V') =&\mathrm{Hss}(V_{\mathfrak{n}})((-1)^n \mathrm{disc}(V_{\mathfrak{n}}), -a)(-a, -a) \\ =&\mathrm{Hss}(V_{\mathfrak{n}})((-1)^{n+1}\mathrm{disc}(V_{\mathfrak{n}}), -a). \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Note that $\mathrm{SO}(V')$ is split if and only if $V'$ is isometric to the quadratic space $\mathbb{H}^n \oplus Fv_0$ for some $v_0$, where $\mathbb{H}$ is a hyperbolic plane,

equivalently $q_{\mathfrak{n}}(v_0, v_0) = \mathrm{disc}(V')$ and $\mathrm{Hss}(V') = \mathrm{Hss}(\mathbb{H}^n \oplus Fv_0)$. Under the assumption that $q_{\mathfrak{n}}(v_0, v_0) = \mathrm{disc}(V')$, by (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hss}(\mathbb{H}^n \oplus Fv_0) =& \mathrm{Hss}(\mathbb{H}^n)((-1)^n, \mathrm{disc}(V'))(\mathrm{disc}(V'), \mathrm{disc}(V')) \\ =& (-1,-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}(-1, \mathrm{disc}(V'))^{n+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

$\mathrm{SO}(V')$ is split if and only if (2.14) equals (2.15). By using the relation that $\mathrm{disc}(V') = -a \cdot \mathrm{disc}(V_{\mathfrak{n}})$ and by simplifying the equality, we obtain this lemma. □

For example, suppose that $\dim V_0^* = 2$, that is, $\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathfrak{n}}^*)$ is a quasi-split and non-split even special orthogonal group, whose pure inner form $\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathfrak{n}})$ satisfies $\mathrm{disc}(V_{\mathfrak{n}}) = \mathrm{disc}(V_{\mathfrak{n}}^*)$ and $\mathrm{Hss}(V_{\mathfrak{n}}) = -\mathrm{Hss}(V_{\mathfrak{n}}^*)$. Note that $\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathfrak{n}})$ and $\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathfrak{n}}^*)$ are $F$-isomorphic. Recall that $\Pi_\phi[G_n^*]$ is a generic Vogan packet of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathfrak{n}}^*)$. The issue is which orthogonal group shall be assigned to $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\phi$ with $\chi((1)) = -1$ (see [11, Section 10]). We fix the $F$-rationality of the local Langlands correspondence following [35, Section 4.6]. This means that $\pi(\phi, \chi) \in \Pi_\phi[G_n^*]$ is defined over $\mathrm{SO}(V')$ for $V' \in \{V_{\mathfrak{n}}, V_{\mathfrak{n}}^*\}$ that is determined by (2.13).

For the special even orthogonal groups, the local Langlands correspondence needs more explanation. Define $c$ to be an element in $\mathrm{O}(V_{\mathfrak{n}}) \smallsetminus \mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathfrak{n}})$ with $\det(c) = -1$. For instance, when $\mathfrak{n}$ is odd, we can take $c = -I_{\mathfrak{n}}$. Consider the conjugate action of $c$ on $\Pi(G_n)$, which arises an equivalence relation $\sim_c$ on $\Pi(G_n)$. Obviously, when $\mathfrak{n}$ is odd, the $c$-conjugation is trivial. We only discuss the even special orthogonal case here. Denote $\Pi(G_n)/\sim_c$ to be the set of equivalence classes. For $\sigma \in \Pi(G_n)$, denote $[\sigma]_c$ to be the equivalence class of $\sigma$. Similarly, one has an analogous equivalence relation on the set $\Phi(G_n)$ of all $L$-parameters of $G_n$, which is also denoted by $\sim_c$.

Let us recall the desiderata of the weak local Langlands correspondence for special even orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathfrak{n}})$ from [4, Desideratum 3.2]. For the needs of this paper, we only recall some partial facts from their desiderata, which has been verified in [4], in order to fix the rationality of the local Langlands correspondence.

**A Weak Local Langlands Correspondence for $G_n = \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$:**

(1) There exists a canonical surjection

$$\bigsqcup_{G_n} \Pi(G_n)/\sim_c \longrightarrow \Phi(G_n^*)/\sim_c$$

where $G_n$ runs over all pure inner forms of $G_n^*$. Note that the preimage of $\phi$ under the above map is the Vogan packet $\Pi_\phi[G_n^*]$ associated to $\phi$.

(2) Let $\Phi^c(G_n^*)/\sim_c$ be the subset of $\Phi(G_n^*)/\sim_c$ consisting of $\phi$ which contains an irreducible orthogonal subrepresentation of $\mathcal{L}_F$ with odd dimension. The following are equivalent:
   - $\phi \in \Phi^c(G_n^*)/\sim_c$;
   - some $[\sigma]_c \in \Pi_\phi$ satisfies that $\sigma \circ \mathrm{Ad}(c) \cong \sigma$;
   - all $[\sigma]_c \in \Pi_\phi$ satisfy that $\sigma \circ \mathrm{Ad}(c) \cong \sigma$.

(3) For each $a \in \mathcal{Z}$, there exists a bijection

$$\iota_a \colon \Pi_\phi[G_n^*] \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}_\phi},$$

which satisfies the endoscopic and twisted endoscopic character identities.

(4) For $[\sigma]_c \in \Pi_\phi[G_n^*]$ and $a \in \mathcal{Z}$, the following are equivalent:
   - $\sigma \in \Pi(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n}))$;
   - $\iota_a([\sigma]_c)((1))$ and $\mathrm{Hss}(V_{2n})$ satisfy the following equation

$$\mathrm{Hss}(V_{2n}) = \iota_a([\sigma]_c)((1))(-1,-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}((-1)^n a, \mathrm{disc}(V_{2n})). \tag{2.16}$$

Note that the subscript $a$ in $\iota_a$ is used to indicate the $F$-rationality of the Whittaker datum. The details can be found in [4, Section 3].

By the above weak local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$ and the local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$, each irreducible admissible representation $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ is associated to an equivalence class $[\phi]_c$ of $L$-parameters under the $c$-conjugation. Following [11, Sections 9 and 10] and [4, Proposition 3.5], the action of $\mathcal{Z}$ gives an one-dimensional twist on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\phi$ via the local Langlands correspondence $\iota_a$, which is given by

$$a \cdot \chi \to \chi \otimes \eta_a$$

where $\eta_a((e_i)_{i\in \mathrm{I_{gp}}}) = (\det \phi_i, a)_F^{e_i}$ and $(\cdot,\cdot)_F$ is the Hilbert symbol defined over $F$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\pi)$ the orbit in $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\phi$ corresponding to $\pi$. More precisely, if $\pi = \pi(\phi,\chi)$ under the local Langlands correspondence $\iota_a$ for some $a$, one has

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\pi) = \{\chi \otimes \eta_\alpha \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\phi \colon \alpha \in \mathcal{Z}\}. \tag{2.17}$$

Note that the set $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\pi)$ is uniquely determined by $\pi$ and independent of the choice of the local Langlands correspondence $\iota_a$.

*In the rest of this paper, the local Langlands correspondence is referred to the weak local Langlands correspondence for even special orthogonal groups* $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$*, and the local Langlands correspondence for special odd orthogonal groups* $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$*.*

Under the local Langlands correspondence $\iota_a$ of $G_n(F)$ with some $a \in \mathcal{Z}$, for an $L$-parameter $\phi$ and a character $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\phi$, denote by $\pi_a(\phi,\chi)$

the corresponding irreducible admissible representation of $G_n(F)$. Conversely, given an irreducible admissible representation $\pi$ of $G_n(F)$, denote by $\phi_a(\pi)$ and $\chi_a(\pi)$ the associated $L$-parameter and its corresponding character in $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\phi$, respectively. When $G_n = \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$, since the local Langlands correspondence is unique and independent with the choice of $a$, we may simply write $\pi(\phi, \chi)$ and $(\phi(\pi), \chi(\pi))$, respectively.

**Remark 2.2.** *Let $\phi$ be an $L$-parameter of $\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$. Suppose that all irreducible orthogonal summands of $\phi$ are even dimensional. Then the $c$-conjugate $L$-parameter $\phi^c$ is different from $\phi$ because $\phi^c$ is not $G_n^\vee(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{SO}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to $\phi$. It follows that $\Pi_\phi[G_n^*]$ and $\Pi_{\phi^c}[G_n^*]$ are two different Vogan packets. However, the conjugation $\mathrm{Ad}(c)\colon \pi_a(\phi, \chi) \mapsto \pi_a(\phi^c, \chi)$ gives a bijection between $\Pi_\phi[G_n^*]$ and $\Pi_{\phi^c}[G_n^*]$. According to [4, Section 3], the $c$-conjugation stabilizes the Whittaker datum associated to $\iota_a$. Thus, the corresponding characters of $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ associated to $\pi$ and $\pi \circ \mathrm{Ad}(c)$ under the same local Langlands correspondence are identical.*

2.3. **On the local GGP conjecture: multiplicity one.** The local GGP conjecture was explicitly formulated in [11] for general classical groups. We recall the case of orthogonal groups here.

Let $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ be two positive integers with different parity. For a relevant pair $G_n = \mathrm{SO}(V, q_V)$ and $H_m = \mathrm{SO}(W, q_W)$, and an $F$-quasisplit relevant pair $G_n^* = \mathrm{SO}(V^*, q_V^*)$ and $H_m^* = \mathrm{SO}(W^*, q_W^*)$ in the sense of [11], where $m = [\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}]$ with $\mathfrak{m} = \dim W = \dim W^*$, we are going to discuss the local $L$-parameters for the group $G_n^* \times H_m^*$ and its relevant pure inner $F$-form $G_n \times H_m$. Consider admissible group homomorphisms:

$$\phi \ : \ \mathcal{L}_F = \mathcal{W}_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to {}^L G_n^* \times {}^L H_m^*, \tag{2.18}$$

with the properties as described for the local $L$-parameters in (2.1). We consider those $L$-parameters analogous to $\Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(G_n^*)$, and denote the set of those $L$-parameters by $\Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(G_n^* \times H_m^*)$. To each parameter $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(G_n^* \times H_m^*)$, one defines the associated local $L$-packet $\Pi_\phi(G_n^* \times H_m^*)$, as in [27]. For any relevant pure inner $F$-form $G_n \times H_m$, if a parameter $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(G_n^* \times H_m^*)$ is $G_n \times H_m$-relevant, it defines a local $L$-packet $\Pi_\phi(G_n \times H_m)$, following [3] and [27]. If a parameter $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(G_n^* \times H_m^*)$ is not $G_n \times H_m$-relevant, the corresponding local $L$-packet $\Pi_\phi(G_n \times H_m)$ is defined to be the empty set. The local Vogan packet associated to a parameter $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(G_n^* \times H_m^*)$ is defined to be the union of the local $L$-packets $\Pi_\phi(G_n \times H_m)$ over all pure inner $F$-forms $G_n \times H_m$ of the $F$-quasisplit group $G_n^* \times H_m^*$, which is denoted by

$$\Pi_\phi[G_n^* \times H_m^*]. \tag{2.19}$$

The local GGP conjecture ([11]) is formulated in terms of the local Bessel functionals as introduced in Section 1.1. For a given relevant pair $(G_n, H_m)$, assuming that $\mathfrak{n} \geq \mathfrak{m}$, take a partition of the form:

$$\underline{p}_\ell := [(2\ell+1)1^{\mathfrak{n}-2\ell-1}],$$

where $2\ell+1 = \dim W^\perp = \mathfrak{n} - \mathfrak{m}$. As in Section 1.1, the $F$-stable nilpotent orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_\ell}$ corresponding to the partition $\underline{p}_\ell$ defines a unipotent subgroup $V_{\underline{p}_\ell}$ and a generic character $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$ associated to any $F$-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_\ell$ in the $F$-stable orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_\ell}$. Following from [22], there is an $F$-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_\ell$ in the $F$-stable orbit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{st}}_{\underline{p}_\ell}$ such that the subgroup $H_m = G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$ normalizes the unipotent subgroup $V_{\underline{p}_\ell}$ and stabilizes the character $\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$. As in Section 1.1 again, the uniqueness of local Bessel functionals asserts that

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{R_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)}(\pi \otimes \sigma^\vee, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}) \leq 1,$$

as proved in [2], [31], [11], and [21]. The stronger version in terms of Vogan packets is formulated as follows.

**Theorem 2.3** (Mœglin-Waldspurger)**.** *Let $G_n^*$ and $H_m^*$ be a relevant pair as given above. For any local $L$-parameter $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(G_n^* \times H_m^*)$, the following identity holds:*

$$\sum_{\pi\otimes\sigma \in \Pi_\phi[G_n^* \times H_m^*]} \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{R_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}) = 1. \tag{2.20}$$

Theorem 2.3 is the orthogonal group case of the general local GGP conjecture ([11]) over $p$-adic local fields. It was proved by Waldspurger ([33], [34] and [35]) with tempered local $L$-parameters, and by Mœglin and Waldspurger ([27]) with generic local $L$-parameters.

2.4. **On multiplicity and parabolic induction.** This is to discuss the relation between the multiplicity in the local GGP conjecture and the parabolic induction as given in the work of Mœglin and Waldspurger in a series of papers [33, 27, 34, 35] for orthogonal groups.

Take $\phi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1}))$ and $\varphi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathrm{SO}(V_{2m}))$. Denote $\pi^{\mathrm{GL}}(\phi)$ and $\pi^{\mathrm{GL}}(\varphi)$ to be the two irreducible representations of general linear groups via the local Langlands functoriality, which is independent with the choice of $\varphi$ in $[\varphi]_c$. Define

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi, \phi) = \det(\varphi)(-1)^n \cdot \varepsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \pi^{\mathrm{GL}}(\varphi) \times \pi^{\mathrm{GL}}(\phi), \psi_F), \tag{2.21}$$

where $\varepsilon(s, \cdot, \psi_F)$ is the $\varepsilon$-factor defined by H. Jacquet, I. Piatetski-Shapiro and J. Shalika in [17]. Recall that $\det(\varphi)(-1) = (\det(\varphi), -1)_F$ and $(\cdot,\cdot)_F$ is the Hilbert symbol defined over $F$. Decompose $\varphi$ and

$\phi$ as (2.6) and define the character $\chi^\star(\phi,\varphi)$ to be an unordered pair $(\chi^\star_\phi(\phi,\varphi),\chi^\star_\varphi(\phi,\varphi))$ (or simply $(\chi^\star_\phi,\chi^\star_\varphi)$), where

$$\chi^\star_\phi((e_{i'})_{i'\in \mathrm{I}^\phi_{\mathrm{gp}}}) = \prod_{i'\in \mathrm{I}^\phi_{\mathrm{gp}}} \mathcal{E}(\varphi,\phi_{i'})^{e_{i'}} \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$\chi^\star_\varphi((e_i)_{i\in \mathrm{I}^\varphi_{\mathrm{gp}}}) = \prod_{i\in \mathrm{I}^\varphi_{\mathrm{gp}}} \mathcal{E}(\varphi_i,\phi)^{e_i}. \tag{2.23}$$

By convention, if $\varphi$ or $\phi$ equals 0, then $\chi^\star(\phi,\varphi)=(1,1)$.

Note that $\chi^\star_\phi$ and $\chi^\star_\varphi$ belong to $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\phi$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\varphi$, respectively, and are independent of the choice of $\psi_F$ defining local root numbers (see [11, Section 6]). It is easy to see that

$$\chi^\star_\phi((1)) = \chi^\star_\varphi((1)) = \mathcal{E}(\varphi,\phi).$$

By [11, Sections 6 and 18], the character $\chi^\star(\phi,\varphi)$ of $\mathcal{S}_\phi\times\mathcal{S}_\varphi$ only depends on $\phi$ and $[\varphi]_c$.

For $\pi\in\Pi(G_n)$ with $G_n=\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$ and $\sigma\in\Pi(H_m)$ with $H_m=\mathrm{SO}(V_{2m+1})$, define the multiplicity for the pair $(\pi,\sigma)$ by

$$m(\pi,\sigma) = \begin{cases} \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{R_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}}(\pi,\sigma\otimes\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}) & \text{if } n>m,\\ \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{R_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}}(\sigma,\pi\otimes\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}) & \text{if } n\le m. \end{cases} \tag{2.24}$$

**Theorem 2.4** (Theorem in Section 4.9 of [35]). *Assume that the stability of the distribution characters as described in [35, Sections 4.2 and 4.3] holds. Suppose that $\varphi$ and $\phi$ are generic $L$-parameters of $G_n=\mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$ and $H_m=\mathrm{SO}(W_{2m+1})$. There exists an isometry class of quadratic spaces $V\times W$, unique up to a scalar multiplication, that satisfies the following conditions:*

$$\mathrm{disc}(V) = \det(\varphi) \tag{2.25}$$

$$\mathrm{Hss}(W) = (-1,-1)^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}}((-1)^{m+1},\mathrm{disc}(W))\mathcal{E}(\varphi,\phi) \tag{2.26}$$

$$\mathrm{Hss}(V) = (-1,-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}((-1)^n\mathrm{disc}(W),\mathrm{disc}(V))\mathcal{E}(\varphi,\phi). \tag{2.27}$$

*Moreover, under the local Langlands correspondence $\iota_a$*

$$m(\pi_a(\varphi,\chi^\star_\varphi),\pi_a(\phi,\chi^\star_\phi)) = 1,$$

*where $a=-\mathrm{disc}(W)\mathrm{disc}(V)$.*

It must be mentioned that the assumption on the stability of the distribution characters in Theorem 2.4 is removed by the work of Mœglin and Waldspurger ([28]) on the stabilization of the twisted trace formula.

We note that in [4, Theorem 5.2], Atobe and Gan give a version of local GGP conjecture in terms of the weak local Langlands correspondence.

**Remark 2.5.** *Suppose that $V \times W$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.4, so is $\lambda V \times \lambda W$ for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{Z}$. More precisely, after choosing $\mathrm{disc}(W) \in \mathcal{Z}$, one can choose an $F$-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_\ell$ with $\mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{O}_\ell) = (-1)^{\min\{2m+1,2n\}}\mathrm{disc}(V)\mathrm{disc}(W)$. Then there is a unique isometry class $V \times W$ satisfying Equations (2.25), (2.26) and (2.27), which is associated with $\mathcal{O}_\ell$.*

The relation between the multiplicity and the parabolic induction is given by the following proposition of Mœglin and Waldspurger.

**Proposition 2.6** (Proposition (1.3) [27])**.** *Assume that $\pi$ is the induced representation*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_P^{G_n} \tau_1 |\det|^{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_r |\det|^{\alpha_r} \otimes \pi_0$$

*and $\sigma$ is the induced representation*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_Q^{H_m} \tau_1' |\det|^{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_t' |\det|^{\beta_t} \otimes \sigma_0$$

*where $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \cdots > \alpha_r$, $\beta_1 > \beta_2 > \cdots > \beta_t$, all $\tau_i$ and $\tau_j'$ are unitary tempered irreducible representations of general linear groups, and $\pi_0$ and $\sigma_0$ are tempered irreducible representations of classical groups of smaller rank. Then the following equation of multiplicities holds:*

$$m(\pi, \sigma^\vee) = m(\pi_0, \sigma_0).$$

Finally, we would like to introduce a notion of the *descent of the local L-parameters*, in order to obtain the explicit spectral decomposition of the local descents at the first occurrence index as described in Theorem 1.7.

For any $\varphi \in \Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(G_n^*)$, let $\varphi$ be a $2n$-dimensional, self-dual local $L$-parameter, which is either of orthogonal type or of symplectic type. We define the *$\ell$-th descent* of $(\varphi, \chi)$ for any $\ell \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}$, to be the set of generic self-dual local $L$-parameters $\phi$, which is denoted by $\mathfrak{D}_\ell(\varphi, \chi)$, satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $\phi$ are local $L$-parameters of dimension $2(n-\ell)$ for $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)$, which are of different type from $\varphi$, and
(2) the equation $\chi_\varphi^\star(\varphi, \phi) = \chi$ holds.

It will be proved in Proposition 4.7 that the $\ell$-th descent of $(\varphi, \chi)$ for any $\ell \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}$ is well defined.

**Definition 2.7** (Descent for $L$-parameters)**.** *For a parameter $\varphi$ in $\Phi_{\mathrm{gen}}(G_n)$ and $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}_\varphi}$, the first occurrence index $\ell_0 := \ell_0(\varphi, \chi)$ of $(\varphi, \chi)$*

*is the integer* $\ell_0$ *in* $\{0,1,\dots,n\}$*, such that* $\mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi,\chi)\neq\emptyset$ *but for any* $\ell\in\{0,1,\dots,n\}$ *with* $\ell>\ell_0$*,* $\mathfrak{D}_\ell(\varphi,\chi)=\emptyset$*.*

*The* $\ell_0$*-th descent* $\mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi,\chi)$ *of* $(\varphi,\chi)$ *is called the first descent of* $(\varphi,\chi)$ *or simply the descent of* $(\varphi,\chi)$*.*

Note that $\ell_0(\varphi,\chi)=n$ if and only if $\chi=1$ by convention. As in the local descent on the representation side, this case will be excluded from the discussion at the first occurrence index.

Note that by definition $\mathfrak{D}_\ell(\varphi,\chi)=\mathfrak{D}_\ell(\varphi^c,\chi)$ and $\mathfrak{D}_\ell(\varphi,\chi)$ is stable under $c$-conjugate. It follows that $\mathfrak{D}_\ell([\varphi]_c,\chi)$ is well-defined, and that $\phi^c\in\mathfrak{D}_\ell(\varphi,\chi)$ if and only if $\phi\in\mathfrak{D}_\ell(\varphi,\chi)$. In fact, the $c$-conjugation preserves the Bessel models, and hence the local descent of representations is stable under $c$-conjugate and any two $c$-conjugate representations have the same local descent.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.6

The proof of Theorem 1.6 takes a few steps. We first prove the following lemma, which implies Proposition 1.1.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any* $\pi\in\Pi(G_n)$*, the following hold.*

(1) *Each Bernstein component of the* $\ell$*-th twisted Jacquet module* $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ *is finitely generated.*

(2) *If* $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)\neq 0$*, then there exists an irreducible representation* $\sigma\in\Pi(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell})$ *such that*
$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)}(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi),\sigma)\neq 0.$$

(3) *If* $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)\neq 0$*, then the* $\ell$*-th local descent* $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ *of* $\pi$ *is not zero.*

*Proof.* It is clear that (3) follows from (2). Assume that (1) holds. Then (2) follows, since any smooth representation of finite length has an irreducible quotient. Hence we only need to show that (1) holds.

For any $\pi\in\Pi(G_n)$, we have the following $G_n(F)\times G_n(F)$-equivariant homomorphism:
$$f\in\mathcal{S}(G_n(F)):=C_c^\infty(G_n(F))\mapsto\pi(f)\in\mathrm{End}(\pi)\simeq\pi\otimes\pi^\vee$$
where $\pi(f)(v):=\int_{G_n(F)}f(g)\pi(g)v\,\mathrm{d}g$ is the convolution operator induced by $\pi$ for all Bruhat-Schwartz functions $f\in\mathcal{S}(G_n(F))$. It is not hard to check that this homomorphism is surjective. By the separation of variables (for the Frechet spaces), the homomorphism induces a surjective homomorphism
$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}(G_n(F)\times G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)) &= \mathcal{S}(G_n(F))\times\mathcal{S}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F))\\ &\twoheadrightarrow \pi\otimes\pi^\vee\otimes\mathcal{S}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)).\end{aligned}$$

By taking the $(V_{\underline{p}_\ell}, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell})$-coinvariant on the left of $\mathcal{S}(G_n(F))$, one has the projection

$$_{(V_{\underline{p}_\ell},\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell})}(\mathcal{S}(G_n)(F)) \times \mathcal{S}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi) \otimes \pi^\vee \otimes \mathcal{S}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)).$$

Then taking $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$-coinvariant on the left, one obtains a surjective homomorphism

$$_{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}}[_{(V_{\underline{p}_\ell},\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell})}(\mathcal{S}(G_n(F))) \times \mathcal{S}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F))] \twoheadrightarrow \pi^\vee \otimes _{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}}[\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi) \otimes \mathcal{S}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F))].$$

Note that $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)$ acts on $_{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}}[\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi) \otimes \mathcal{S}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F))]$ via the right translation on the second variable. As smooth representations of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)$, one has

$$_{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}}[\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi) \otimes \mathcal{S}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F))] \simeq \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi).$$

In fact, it suffices to show that the map

$$\mathfrak{p}\colon \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi) \otimes \mathcal{S}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)) \to \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$$

defined by $v \otimes f \mapsto \int_{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)} f(h^{-1})\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)(h)v \,\mathrm{d}h$ factors through the quotient $_{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}}[\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi) \otimes \mathcal{S}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F))]$ and is surjective.

First, for any smooth vector $v \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$, suppose that $v$ is fixed by a compact open subgroup $K_0$ of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)$. Let $1_{K_0}$ be a characteristic function of $K_0$ in $\mathcal{S}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F))$. By choosing a suitable non-zero constant $c_0$, we have $v \otimes c_0 \cdot 1_{K_0} \mapsto v$. It follows that this map is surjective. Then, if $v \otimes f$ is of form $\sum \pi(h_i)v_i \otimes L(h_i)f_i$ for some $h_i$, $v_i$ and $f_i$, one must have that $\mathfrak{p}(v \otimes f) = 0$. Thus, the map $\mathfrak{p}$ factors through the quotient $_{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}}[\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi) \otimes \mathcal{S}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F))]$. Because

$$\mathcal{S}((G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell} \ltimes V_{\underline{p}_\ell})\backslash G_n \times G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}) = _{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}}(_{(V_{\underline{p}_\ell},\psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell})}(\mathcal{S}(G_n)) \times \mathcal{S}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell})),$$

we obtain a projection

$$\mathcal{S}((G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F) \ltimes V_{\underline{p}_\ell}(F))\backslash G_n(F) \times G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F), \psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi^\vee \otimes \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi).$$

By Theorem A and Remark of Theorem A in [1], as a representation of $G_n(F) \times G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)$, each Bernstein component of

$$\mathcal{S}((G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F) \ltimes V_{\underline{p}_\ell}(F))\backslash G_n(F) \times G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}, \psi_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F))$$

is finitely generated, and so is each Bernstein component of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$. This finishes the proof of (1). □

Assume that $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ has a generic $L$-parameter. By the corollary in [27, Section 2.14], $\pi$ can be written as the irreducible induced representation

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P(F)}^{G_n(F)} \tau_1 |\det|^{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_t |\det|^{\alpha_t} \otimes \pi_0 \tag{3.1}$$

where $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \cdots > \alpha_t$, all $\tau_i$ and $\pi_0$ are irreducible unitary tempered representations. One may write the Levi subgroup of $P$ as $\mathrm{GL}_{a_1} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{a_t} \times G_{n_0}$ and has that $\pi_0 \in \Pi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G_{n_0})$.

**Proposition 3.2.** *For any $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ that has a generic $L$-parameter and is written as in (3.1), the following hold.*

1. *The first occurrence indexes $\ell_0(\pi)$ and $\ell_0(\pi_0)$, with $\pi_0$ being in (3.1), enjoy the relation: $\ell_0(\pi) = n - n_0 + \ell_0(\pi_0)$.*
2. *Every irreducible quotient of the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ of $\pi$ is tempered.*

*Proof.* Assume that $\pi$ has a generic $L$-parameter as given in (3.1).

To prove (1), we first show that the first occurrence index $\ell_0(\pi)$ of $\pi$ has the property that

$$\ell_0(\pi) \leq n - n_0 + \ell_0(\pi_0) \tag{3.2}$$

where $\ell_0(\pi_0)$ is the first occurrence index of $\pi_0$.

In fact, assume that an index $\ell$ satisfies:

$$\ell > n - n_0 + \ell_0(\pi_0).$$

We will show that the twisted Jacquet module $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ is zero for such $\ell$. Note that the twisted Jacquet module $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$, as in [12, Theorem 5.1], can be written, up to semi-simplification, as a direct sum of the representations that are induced partially from the twisted Jacquet modules of type $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell-(n-n_0)+s}}(\pi_0^{w'})$ of $\pi_0^{w'}$ with $s \geq 0$, where $\pi_0^{w'}$ is a conjugation of $\pi_0$ by a power of $c$. We refer to [12, Theorem 5.1] for the notation that may not be specified here.

It is easy to observe that $\ell_0(\pi_0) = \ell_0(\pi_0^{w'})$ as the conjugation by a power of $c$ preserves the unipotent subgroup $V_{\underline{p}_{\ell-(n-n_0)+s}}$ that defines the twisted Jacquet module of type $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell-(n-n_0)+s}}(\pi_0^{w'})$. Note that

$$\ell - (n - n_0) + s > \ell_0(\pi_0).$$

It follows from the definition of the first occurrence index that the twisted Jacquet modules $J_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell-(n-m)+s}}(\pi_0^{w'})$ must vanish for all $s$, and so does the twisted Jacquet module $J_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$. This proves that the first occurrence index $\ell_0(\pi)$ must satisfy the inequality in (3.2).

Next, we show that the statement opposite to (3.2) holds. By applying Lemma 3.1 to $\pi_0$, which is tempered, there exists a $\delta \in \Pi(G_{n_0}^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0(\pi_0)}})$ such that $m(\pi_0, \delta) \neq 0$. To simplify the notation, we set $H_0 := G_{n_0}^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0(\pi_0)}}$. By the Langlands classification, the contragredient $\delta^\vee$ of $\delta$ is the unique quotient of a standard induced representation

$$\delta_\dagger := \mathrm{Ind}_{Q(F)}^{H_0(F)} \tau_1' |\det|^{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_s' |\det|^{\beta_s} \otimes \delta_0$$

where $\beta_1 > \beta_2 > \cdots > \beta_s$, and all $\tau_i'$ and $\delta_0$ are irreducible unitary tempered representations. By taking the contragredient, the representation $\delta$ can be embedded into the contragredient $\delta_\dagger^\vee$ of $\delta_\dagger$ as the subrepresentation. By composing this embedding with the $\ell_0(\pi_0)$-th local descent of $\pi_0$, one obtains that the multiplicity $m(\pi_0, \delta_\dagger^\vee)$ is nonzero. By Proposition 2.6, which is [27, Proposition 1.3], the multiplicity identity

$$m(\pi_0, \delta_\dagger^\vee) = m(\pi_0, \delta_0)$$

holds, since $\pi_0$ is tempered. Hence the multiplicity $m(\pi_0, \delta_0)$ is nonzero.

Finally, we apply Proposition 2.6 to the pair $(\pi, \delta_0)$, and obtain that

$$m(\pi, \delta_0^\vee) = m(\pi_0, \delta_0)$$

and hence that $m(\pi, \delta_0^\vee) \neq 0$. This implies that the first occurrence index $\ell_0(\pi)$ of $\pi$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$\ell_0(\pi) \geq n - n_0 + \ell_0(\pi_0).$$

This proves (1).

In order to prove (2), we let $\sigma$ be any irreducible quotient of the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ of $\pi$. Hence we have $m(\pi, \sigma) \neq 0$. By the Langlands classification, the contragredient $\sigma^\vee$ of $\sigma$ is the unique quotient of a standard induced representation

$$\sigma_\dagger := \mathrm{Ind}_{Q(F)}^{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(F)} \tau_1' |\det|^{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_s' |\det|^{\beta_s} \otimes \sigma_0$$

where $\beta_1 > \beta_2 > \cdots > \beta_s$, all $\tau_i'$ and $\sigma_0$ are irreducible unitary tempered representations. As in the proof of (1) right above, we have that $m(\pi, \sigma_\dagger^\vee) \neq 0$. Now applying Proposition 2.6 to this situation, we have that $m(\pi, \sigma_\dagger^\vee) = m(\pi_0, \sigma_0) \neq 0$. Then we apply Proposition 2.6 to the pair $(\pi, \sigma_0^\vee)$ and obtain that $m(\pi, \sigma_0^\vee) = m(\pi_0, \sigma_0) \neq 0$.

If $Q$ is a proper parabolic subgroup of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}$, then $\ell_0 = \ell_0(\pi)$ is no longer the first occurrence index of $\pi$. By assumption, we must have that $Q = G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}$ and $\sigma_\dagger = \sigma_0$ is tempered. It follows that $\sigma$ must be tempered. This proves (2) and hence finishes the proof of the proposition. □

Finally we prove the following proposition, which proves Proposition 1.2 and finishes the proof of Theorem 1.6.

**Proposition 3.3** (Stability of Local Descent)**.** *For $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ with a generic L-parameter, the $\ell$-th local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi) \neq 0$ for $\ell \leq \ell_0$, where $\ell_0 = \ell_0(\pi)$ denotes the first occurrence index of $\pi$. Moreover,*

*the $\ell$-local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ has an irreducible tempered quotient as representations of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)$.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.2, there exists an $F$-rational orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}$ associated to the partition $\underline{p}_{\ell_0}$ such that the twisted Jacquet module $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ has an irreducible quotient $\sigma$ belonging to $\Pi_{\mathrm{temp}}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}})$ and hence we have that $m(\pi,\sigma)\neq 0$.

For any occurrence index $\ell<\ell_0(\pi)$, we want to show that the twisted Jacquet module $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ is non-zero. Note that the quadratic spaces defining both orthogonal groups $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$ and $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}$ have the same discriminant and Hasse invariant. Let $\tau$ be a unitary non-self-dual irreducible supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{\ell_0-\ell}(F)$. Define

$$\sigma_1=\mathrm{Ind}_{P_{\ell_0-\ell}(F)}^{G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)}\tau\otimes\sigma,$$

where $P_{\ell_0-\ell}$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}$, whose Levi subgroup is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_{\ell_0-\ell}\times G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}$. It is clear that $\sigma_1$ is an irreducible tempered representation of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)$. By applying Proposition 2.6, we obtain an identity: $m(\pi,\sigma_1^\vee)=m(\pi,\sigma)\neq 0$. Hence the $\ell$-th twisted Jacquet module $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$ has $\sigma_1^\vee$ as a quotient as representations of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(F)$. In particular, the $\ell$-local descent $\mathcal{D}_\ell(\pi)$ is nonzero, and has the irreducible tempered representation $\sigma_1^\vee$ as a quotient. This finishes the proof. □

## 4. Proof of Theorems 1.5 and 1.7

Let us recall some basic properties of the local root numbers before starting the proof, which serves a base of the explicit spectral decomposition of the local descents.

4.1. **Local root number.** Let $\phi$ be a local $L$-parameter of $G_n$ and $\psi_F$ be a fixed non-trivial additive character of $F$, as before. The *local epsilon factor* defined by P. Deligne and J. Tate [32] is given by

$$\varepsilon(s,\phi,\psi_F)=\varepsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi,\psi_F)q^{a(\phi)(\frac{1}{2}-s)} \tag{4.1}$$

where $a(\phi)$ is the Artin conductor of $\phi$ and $\varepsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi,\psi_F)$ is the *local root number*. By [13, Proposition 15.1] and [32], one also has the following properties of the local epsilon factors:

- $\varepsilon(s,\phi,\psi_a)=\det\phi(a)|a|^{-\dim\phi}\varepsilon(s,\phi,\psi_F)$, where $\psi_a$ is defined by $\psi_a(x)=\psi_F(ax)$;
- $\varepsilon(s,\phi\otimes|\cdot|^{s_0},\psi_F)=q_F^{-s_0a(\phi)}\varepsilon(s,\phi,\psi_F)$;
- $\varepsilon(s,\phi,\psi_F)\varepsilon(1-s,\phi^\vee,\psi_F)=\det(\phi)(-1)$; and
- $\varepsilon(s,\phi\oplus\phi',\psi_F)=\varepsilon(s,\phi,\psi_F)\varepsilon(s,\phi',\psi_F)$.

Denote $\mu_n$ to be the algebraic $n$-dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ in this paper. Let us decompose $\phi$ as

$$\phi = \oplus_{n\geq 0}\rho_n \otimes \mu_{n+1},$$

where $(\rho_n, V_{\rho_n})$ is a semisimple complex representation of $\mathcal{W}_F$, which may possibly be zero. By the work of B. Gross and M. Reeder [14] for instance, one has the local epsilon factor

$$\varepsilon(s,\phi,\psi_F) = \varepsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi,\psi_F)q^{a(\phi)(\frac{1}{2}-s)},$$

where $a(\phi) = \sum_{n\geq 0}(n+1)a(\rho_n) + \sum_{n\geq 1} n\cdot \dim V_{\rho_n}^{\mathcal{I}}$, and

$$\varepsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi,\psi_F) = \prod_{n\geq 0}\varepsilon(\frac{1}{2},\rho_n,\psi_F)^{n+1}\prod_{n\geq 1}\det(-\rho_n(\mathrm{Fr})|V_{\rho_n}^{\mathcal{I}})^n, \tag{4.2}$$

with $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_F$ the inertia group and $V_{\rho_n}$ the vector space defining $\rho_n$, and with $a(\rho_n)$ being the Artin conductor of $\rho_n$. More details on the Artin conductor can be found in [14, Section 2].

By [11, Propositions 5.1 and 5.2], if $\phi$ is a self-dual $L$-parameter and $\det(\phi) = 1$, then $\varepsilon(\frac{1}{2},\phi,\psi_F)$ is independent of the choice of $\psi_F$ and is simply denoted by $\varepsilon(\phi)$. Moreover, $\varepsilon(\phi) = \pm 1$.

For example, if $\tau$ is a character of $F^\times$, we may rewrite $\tau$ as $|\cdot|^{s_0}\omega$, where $\omega$ is a unitary character of $F^\times$. Then

$$\varepsilon(s,\tau,\psi_F) = q^{n(\frac{1}{2}-s-s_0)}\frac{g(\bar{\omega},\psi_{\varpi^{-n}})}{|g(\bar{\omega},\psi_{\varpi^{-n}})|},$$

where $n$ is the conductor of $\omega$ (i.e., $\omega$ is trivial on $1+\varpi^n\mathfrak{o}_F$ but not trivial on $1+\varpi^{n-1}\mathfrak{o}_F$) and the Gauss sum is defined by

$$g(\omega,\psi_a) = \int_{\mathfrak{o}_F^\times}\omega(u)\psi_F(au)\,\mathrm{d}u.$$

In particular, if $\tau$ is unramified, then $\varepsilon(s,\tau,\psi_F) = 1$. If $\tau$ is a ramified quadratic character, then it is of conductor 1. Thus $\varepsilon(s,\tau,\psi_F) = \varepsilon(\frac{1}{2},\tau,\psi_F)q^{\frac{1}{2}-s-s_0}$ and $\varepsilon^2(\frac{1}{2},\tau,\psi_F) = \tau(-1)$ are independent of the choice of $\psi_F$.

More generally, let $\pi = [\tau|\cdot|^{\frac{1-r}{2}}, \tau|\cdot|^{\frac{r-1}{2}}]$ be the square-integrable representation of a general linear group determined by the line segment of Bernstein and Zelevinsky in [7], with the local $L$-parameter $\varphi_\tau \boxtimes \mu_r$, and $\varphi_\tau$ is the associated irreducible representation of $\mathcal{W}_F$ via the local Langlands correspondence for general linear groups. Denote by $\omega_\pi$ the central character of $\pi$. If $\tau$ is a quadratic character of $\mathrm{GL}_1(F)$ and

$\omega_\pi = 1$ (that is, if $\tau$ is non-trivial, then $r$ is even), then

(4.3)
$$\varepsilon(s, \varphi_\tau \boxtimes \mu_r, \psi_F) = \begin{cases} q^{(r-1)(\frac{1}{2}-s)} & \text{if } \tau = 1 \text{ and } r \text{ is odd,} \\ -\tau(\varpi) q^{(r-1)(\frac{1}{2}-s)} & \text{if } \tau \text{ is unramified and } r \text{ is even,} \\ \tau(-1)^{\frac{r}{2}} q^{r(\frac{1}{2}-s)} & \text{if } \tau \text{ is ramified.} \end{cases}$$

If $\tau$ is a self-dual supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_a(F)$ with $a > 1$, then

$$\varepsilon(s, \varphi_\tau \boxtimes \mu_r, \psi_F) = \varepsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \tau, \psi_F)^r q^{ra(\tau)(\frac{1}{2}-s)}. \tag{4.4}$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let $\varphi_1 = \varphi_\pi \boxtimes \mu_n$ and $\varphi_2 = \varphi_\tau \boxtimes \mu_m$ be two irreducible local L-parameters, with $\varphi_\pi$ and $\varphi_\tau$ being self-dual and of dimensions $a$ and $b$, respectively.*

(1) *If $m$ and $n$ are even, then $\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = 1$.*
(2) *If $\varphi_\pi \otimes \varphi_\tau$ is of symplectic type, then*
$$\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } m+n \text{ is odd,} \\ \varepsilon(\pi \times \tau), & \text{when } mn \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$
(3) *If $\varphi_\pi \otimes \varphi_\tau$ is of orthogonal type and $\pi \ncong \tau$, then*
$$\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \det(\varphi_\pi)(-1)^{\frac{bmn}{2}} \det(\varphi_\tau)(-1)^{\frac{amn}{2}},$$
*when $m+n$ is odd, and $\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \varepsilon(\pi \times \tau, \psi_F)$, when $mn$ is odd.*
(4) *If $\varphi_\pi \otimes \varphi_\tau$ is of orthogonal type and $\pi \cong \tau$, then*
$$\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \det(\varphi_\pi)(-1)^{\frac{bmn}{2}} \det(\varphi_\tau)(-1)^{\frac{amn}{2}} (-1)^{\min\{m,n\}},$$
*when $m+n$ is odd, and $\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \varepsilon(\pi \times \tau, \psi_F)$, when $mn$ is odd.*

**Remark 4.2.** *If $mn$ is odd, and $\varphi_\pi$ and $\varphi_\tau$ are of orthogonal type, then $\det(\varphi_\pi \otimes \varphi_\tau) = \pm 1$ and $\varepsilon(\varphi_\pi \otimes \varphi_\tau)$ depends possibly on the additive character $\psi_F$. Thus, we add $\psi_F$ in the $\varepsilon$ for this case. In this case the local root number is calculated in [11, Theorem 6.2 (2)]. However, after the normalization in (2.21), the local root number is independent on $\psi_F$ (see [11, Theorem 6.2 (1)]).*

*Proof.* Since $\mu_n \otimes \mu_m = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\min\{m,n\}} \mu_{n+m+1-2i}$, as representations of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, one has

$$\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\min\{m,n\}} (\varphi_\pi \otimes \varphi_\tau) \boxtimes \mu_{n+m+1-2i}.$$

If $\pi \ncong \chi\tau$ for any unramified character $\chi$, there is no $\varphi_\pi \otimes \varphi_\tau(\mathcal{I})$-invariant vector. Following (4.4), one has

$$\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \prod_{i=1}^{\min\{m,n\}} \varepsilon(\pi \times \tau, \psi_F)^{n+m+1-2i} = \varepsilon(\pi \times \tau, \psi_F)^{mn}. \tag{4.5}$$

Suppose that $\pi \cong \chi\tau$ for some unramified character $\chi$. Following Equation 4.2 and (6.2.5) in [8], one has

$$\varepsilon((\varphi_\pi \otimes \varphi_\tau) \boxtimes \mu_r) = \varepsilon(\pi \times \tau, \psi_F)^r (-\chi(\varpi)^{d(\pi)})^{r-1},$$

where $d(\pi)$ is the number of all unramified characters $\chi$ such that $\pi \cong \chi\pi$. Also recall that an unramified character $\chi'$ satisfies $\pi \cong \chi'\pi$ if and only if the order of $\chi'$ divides $d(\pi)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = &\prod_{i=1}^{\min\{m,n\}} \varepsilon(\pi \times \tau, \psi_F)^{n+m+1-2i} (-\chi(\varpi)^{d(\pi)})^{n+m-2i} \\ =&\varepsilon(\pi \times \tau, \psi_F)^{mn} (-\chi(\varpi)^{d(\pi)})^{mn-\min\{m,n\}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Since $\pi$ and $\tau$ are self-dual, the unramified character $\chi$ has the property that $\pi \cong \chi^2\pi$. It follows that the order of $\chi^2$ divides $d(\pi)$. Under the assumption $\pi \cong \chi\tau$, we consider two cases: $d(\pi)$ is even or $d(\pi)$ is odd.

Suppose that $d(\pi)$ is even. Since the order of $\chi^2$ divides $d(\pi)$, either the order of $\chi$ divides $d(\pi)$ or equals $2d(\pi)$. The order of $\chi$ equals $2d(\pi)$ if and only if $\pi \ncong \tau$ and $\pi \cong \chi^2\pi$. In this case, one has $-\chi(\varpi)^{d(\pi)} = 1$, which implies $\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \varepsilon(\pi \times \tau, \psi_F)^{mn}$. If the order of $\chi$ divides $d(\pi)$, then $\pi \cong \chi\tau \cong \tau$ and

$$\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \varepsilon(\pi \times \tau, \psi_F)^{mn} (-1)^{mn-\min\{m,n\}}. \tag{4.7}$$

Suppose that $d(\pi)$ is odd. Then the order of $\chi$ divides $d(\pi)$. It follows that $\pi \cong \chi\pi \cong \tau$ and $\chi^{d(\pi)} = 1$. By (4.6), one obtains Equation (4.7)

Combining with the case $\pi \ncong \chi\tau$ for any unramified $\chi$, we summarize

$$\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon(\pi \times \tau, \psi_F)^{mn} & \text{if } \pi \ncong \tau \\ \varepsilon(\pi \times \tau, \psi_F)^{mn} (-1)^{mn-\min\{m,n\}} & \text{if } \pi \cong \tau. \end{cases}$$

Recall that $\varepsilon(\varphi)^2 = (\det \varphi)(-1) = \pm 1$ if $\varphi$ is self-dual. Since $\varphi_\pi \otimes \varphi_\tau$ is self-dual, we have

$$\varepsilon(\varphi_\pi \otimes \varphi_\tau)^2 = \det(\varphi_\pi)(-1)^b \det(\varphi_\tau)(-1)^a. \tag{4.8}$$

If $m$ and $n$ are even, then 4 divides $mn$ and $\min\{m,n\}$ is even. By (4.5), (4.6) and (4.8), we have $\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = 1$.

Suppose that $\varphi_\pi \otimes \varphi_\tau$ is of symplectic type. Then $\det(\varphi_\pi \otimes \varphi_\tau) = 1$ and $\varphi_\pi$ and $\varphi_\tau$ are of different type. If $m+n$ is odd, then $mn$ is even and $\varepsilon(\pi \times \tau, \psi_F)^{mn} = 1$. As $\varphi_\pi$ and $\varphi_\tau$ are irreducible and of different

type, there is no unramified character $\chi$ satisfying $\pi \cong \chi\tau$. Therefore, $\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = 1$ if $m+n$ is odd, and $\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \varepsilon(\pi \times \tau)$ if $mn$ is odd.

Suppose that $\varphi_\pi \otimes \varphi_\tau$ is of orthogonal type. If $\pi \ncong \tau$, then, when $mn$ is even,

$$\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = (\varepsilon(\pi \times \tau, \psi_F)^2)^{\frac{mn}{2}} = \det(\varphi_\pi)(-1)^{\frac{bmn}{2}} \det(\varphi_\tau)(-1)^{\frac{amn}{2}},$$

which is independent of the choice of $\psi_F$; and when $mn$ is odd, $\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \varepsilon(\pi \times \tau, \psi_F)$, which depends on the choice of $\psi_F$.

If $\pi \cong \tau$, then, when $mn$ is even,

$$\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \det(\varphi_\pi)(-1)^{\frac{bmn}{2}} \det(\varphi_\tau)(-1)^{\frac{amn}{2}} (-1)^{\min\{m,n\}};$$

and when $mn$ is odd, $\varepsilon(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \varepsilon(\pi \times \tau, \psi_F)$ as $mn - \min\{m,n\}$ is even.

□

In some special cases, the result is simple. The following example is about the quadratic unipotent $L$-parameters, which will be more explicitly discussed in Section 4.3.

**Example 4.3.** *Let $\varphi_\pi = \chi \boxtimes \mu_n$ and $\varphi_\tau = \xi \boxtimes \mu_m$, where $\chi$ and $\xi$ are quadratic characters. If $m+n$ is odd, then*

$$\varepsilon(\pi \times \tau) = \begin{cases} (-\chi\xi(\varpi))^{\min\{m,n\}}, & \text{if } \chi\xi \text{ is unramified,} \\ \chi\xi(-1)^{\frac{mn}{2}}, & \text{if } \chi\xi \text{ is ramified.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let $\varphi$ and $\phi$ be discrete $L$-parameters of different type. Then $\chi^\star(\varphi, \phi) = (\chi_\varphi^\star, \chi_\phi^\star)$, with $\chi_\varphi^\star$ and $\chi_\phi^\star$ as defined in (2.22) and (2.23), yields a pair of characters on $A_\varphi$ and $A_\phi$, which are independent of $\psi_F$.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume that $\varphi$ is of symplectic type and $\phi$ is of orthogonal type. Since $\varphi$ and $\phi$ are discrete, we may decompose $\varphi$ and $\phi$ as $\varphi = \boxplus_{i=1}^r \varphi_i$ and $\phi = \boxplus_{j=1}^s \phi_j$, where $\varphi_i$ and $\phi_j$ are irreducible. The connected components $S_\varphi^\circ$ and $S_\phi^\circ$ are trivial. Then $A_\varphi = \mathcal{S}_\varphi$ as $\varphi$ is of symplectic type, and $A_\phi = S_\phi$.

Assume that $\varphi_i$ and $\phi_j$ of type $\rho \boxtimes \mu_\alpha$ and $\varrho \boxtimes \mu_\beta$ respectively. Note that $\varphi_i$ and $\phi_j$ are of different type for all $i, j$. By Lemma 4.1, one obtains that $\varepsilon(\varphi_i \otimes \phi_j) = \pm 1$, which is independent of $\psi_F$, because the case that $\rho \otimes \varrho$ is of orthogonal type and $mn$ is odd does not occur. So $\mathcal{E}(\varphi_i, \phi)$ and $\mathcal{E}(\varphi, \phi_j)$ are $\pm 1$ and independent of $\psi_F$. Therefore, by definition, $\chi_\varphi^\star$ and $\chi_\phi^\star$ are characters of $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$ and $A_\phi$ and independent of $\psi_F$. □

Note that we extend [11, Theorem 6.2 (1)] to the characters on $A_\varphi \times A_\phi$ of discrete $L$-parameters, which is well-defined and independent

of $\psi_F$, and to the case that the $L$-parameter of orthogonal type is of odd dimension. This lemma will be applied in Proposition 4.7, which asserts that the descents of $L$-parameters are well defined. In addition, $\chi^\star(\varphi,\phi)$ are the same characters on $\mathcal{S}_\varphi\times\mathcal{S}_\phi$ by restriction.

4.2. **The Proofs.** The key step to prove Theorem 1.5 is to show the following proposition, which is a further refinement of Proposition 3.2.

**Proposition 4.5.** *For any $\pi\in\Pi(G_n)$ with a generic $L$-parameter, if a $\sigma\in\Pi(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}})$ occurs as an irreducible quotient of the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ with $\ell_0=\ell_0(\pi)$ being the first occurrence index of $\pi$, then $\sigma$ is square-integrable.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.2, any irreducible quotient $\sigma$ of the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ is tempered. By using the local GGP conjecture as proved in [27], we show that such a $\sigma$ is in fact square-integrable.

Assume that $[\varphi]_c$ (resp. $[\phi_\sigma]_c$) is the equivalence class of the local $L$-parameters associated to $\pi$ (resp. $\sigma$), and $(\chi_\pi,\chi_\sigma)$ is the character of the component group $\mathcal{S}_\varphi\times\mathcal{S}_{\phi_\sigma}$ corresponding to $\pi$ and $\sigma$ such that $(\chi_\pi,\chi_\sigma)=\chi^\star(\varphi,\phi)$. As in (2.6), one can decompose $\phi_\sigma$ as

$$\phi_\sigma=\phi_0\boxplus\phi_0^\vee\boxplus m_1\phi_1\boxplus\cdots\boxplus m_k\phi_k,$$

where $m_i$ is the multiplicity, $\phi_0\not\simeq\phi_0^\vee$ and each $\phi_i$ is of good parity if $i\neq 0$, and all summands are tempered local $L$-parameters. The discrete part $\phi_\square$ of $\phi_\sigma$ is defined to be: $\phi_\square=\boxplus_{i\in\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{gp}}}\phi_i$, which is a discrete $L$-parameter of the same type. Then we have the identity: $\chi^\star(\varphi,\phi)=\chi^\star(\varphi,\phi_\square)$. By assumption that $m(\pi,\sigma)\neq 0$, and by Theorem 2.4, we must have that $(\chi_\pi,\chi_\sigma)=\chi^\star(\varphi,\phi)=\chi^\star(\varphi,\phi_\square)$. It follows that $m(\pi,\pi_a(\phi_\square,\chi_\sigma))=m(\pi,\sigma)\neq 0$, where $\sigma$ and $\pi_a(\phi_\square,\chi_\sigma)$ are under the same local Langlands correspondence $\iota_a$. If $\phi_\sigma\neq\phi_\square$, then $\ell_0=\ell_0(\pi)$ is no longer the first occurrence index of $\pi$. Hence we must have that $\phi_\sigma=\phi_\square$ and $\sigma=\pi_a(\phi_\square,\chi_\sigma)$, which is square-integrable. □

For a local $L$-parameter $\phi$ with the decomposition (2.6), define its discrete part as in the above proof by

$$\phi_\square=\boxplus_{i\in\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{gp}}^\phi}\phi_i. \tag{4.9}$$

Denote $n_0$ to be the dimension of $\phi_\square$.

**Corollary 4.6.** *For a $\pi\in\Pi(G_n)$ with a generic $L$-parameter $\varphi$. the first occurrence index of $\pi$ can be calculated by*

$$\ell_0(\pi)=n-n_0+\min_{\chi\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\pi)}\{\ell_0(\varphi_\square,\chi)\},$$

*where* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\pi)$ *is defined in* (2.17). *Moreover, suppose that* $\chi_0$ *is such a character that* $\ell_0(\varphi_\square, \chi_0) = \min_{\chi\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\pi)}\{\ell_0(\varphi_\square, \chi)\}$ *holds, and that* $\pi = \pi_a(\varphi, \chi_0)$ *under the local Langlands correspondence* $\iota_a$. *Define that* $\pi_\square := \pi_a(\varphi_\square, \chi_0) \in \Pi(G_{n_0})$. *If* $\mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}) = \mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0(\pi_\square)})$ *then*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0(\pi_\square)}}(\pi_\square).$$

By applying the local Langlands conjecture for the current case, we are able to determine the explicit local Langlands datum for each of the irreducible quotients of the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0(\pi)}}(\pi)$ for every $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ with a generic $L$-parameter.

Let $\varphi$ be a local $L$-parameter of $G_n$ for a square-integrable representation of $G_n(F)$. It can be decomposed as

$$\varphi = \boxplus_{i=1}^{r}\boxplus_{j=1}^{r_i}\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha_{i,j}}\boxplus\boxplus_{i=1}^{s}\boxplus_{j=1}^{s_i}\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1} \tag{4.10}$$

where all $\rho_i$ and $\varrho_i$ are irreducible self-dual distinct representations of $\mathcal{W}_F$ of dimension $a_i$ and $b_i$, respectively, and $1 \le \alpha_{i,1} < \alpha_{i,2} < \cdots < \alpha_{i,r_i}$ and $0 \le \beta_{i,1} < \beta_{i,2} < \cdots < \beta_{i,s_i}$ for all $i$ are integers. For such a local $L$-parameter $\varphi$ as in (4.10), we define the even and odd parts according to the dimension of $\mu_k$'s:

$$\varphi_e := \boxplus_{i=1}^{r}\boxplus_{j=1}^{r_i}\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha_{i,j}} \tag{4.11}$$

$$\varphi_o := \boxplus_{i=1}^{s}\boxplus_{j=1}^{s_i}\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}. \tag{4.12}$$

For an irreducible representation $\rho$ of $\mathcal{W}_F$, denote by $\varphi(\rho)$ the $\rho$-isotypic component of $\varphi$ when restricted to $\mathcal{W}_F$. It is clear that $\varphi(\rho)$ is still a local $L$-parameter. For instance, $\varphi(\rho_i) = \boxplus_{j=1}^{r_i}\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha_{i,j}}$. Hence $\varphi(\rho) = 0$ if $\rho$ is not isomorphic to any of the $\rho_i$ or $\varrho_i$ for all $i$.

For a subrepresentation $\varphi'$ of $\varphi$, denote $(e_i)|_{\varphi'}$ to be the elements in $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$ with $e_i = 0$ except that $\varphi_i$ is an irreducible summand of $\varphi'$, where $\varphi_i$ is the summand according to the decomposition of $\varphi$ in (2.5). In particular, let $1_{\varphi'}$ be the element with $e_i = 1$ when $\varphi_i$ is an irreducible summand of $\varphi'$ and $e_i = 0$ otherwise.

Define the *sign alternative index set,* $\mathrm{sgn}_{*,\rho}(\chi)$, as follows: when $\rho \cong \rho_i$ for some $i$,

$$\mathrm{sgn}_{o,\rho_i}(\chi) := \{j\colon 0 \le j < r_i,\ \chi(1_{\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha_{i,j}}\boxplus\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha_{i,j}+1}}) = -1\}; \tag{4.13}$$

and when $\rho \cong \varrho_i$ for some $i$,

$$\mathrm{sgn}_{e,\varrho_i}(\chi) = \{j\colon 1 \le j < s_i,\ \chi(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}\boxplus\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j+1}+1}}) = -1\}. \tag{4.14}$$

By convention, $\alpha_{i,0} = 0$. For each $(\varphi, \chi)$, define

$$\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}} = \boxplus_{i=1}^{r}\boxplus_{j\in\mathrm{sgn}_{o,\rho_i}(\chi)}\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha_{i,j}+1}\boxplus\boxplus_{i=1}^{s}\boxplus_{j\in\mathrm{sgn}_{e,\varrho_i}(\chi)}\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+2}. \tag{4.15}$$

Note that $\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}$ is uniquely determined by the given local Langlands data $(\varphi,\chi)$, but it may possibly be zero. If $\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}$ is not zero, then $\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}$ and $\varphi$ are of different type. It is worthwhile to mention that $\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}$ is the necessary component occurring in the local descent $\mathfrak{D}_\ell(\varphi,\chi)$ (see Definition 2.7). According to Example (a) in Section 4.3, such types of $L$-parameters are closely related to the cuspidal local $L$-parameters, as defined by Aubert, Moussaoui, and Solleveld ([5]), which, by definition, have the property that their $L$-packets contain at least one irreducible supercuspidal representation.

From $\varphi$, we define two new parameters $\lceil\varphi_o\rceil$ and $\varphi^\dagger$ by

$$\lceil\varphi_o\rceil=\boxplus_{i=1}^s\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,s_i}+1}\text{ and }\varphi^\dagger=\boxplus_{i=1}^s\varrho_i\boxtimes 1, \tag{4.16}$$

respectively. Note that for each $i$, the piece $\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,s_i}+1}$ is the one with maximal dimension among the summands $\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}$'s for $j=1,2,\cdots,s_i$. Both $\lceil\varphi_o\rceil$ and $\varphi^\dagger$ are of the same type as $\varphi$, and are possibly of orthogonal type and have odd dimension. Define that $\chi_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil}=\chi|_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil}$, the restriction of $\chi$ on the elements $((e_i)|_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil})$, is a character of $A_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil}$. Also $\chi_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil}$ is considered as a character of $\mathcal{S}_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil}$ by restriction.

Note that there is an isomorphism from $A_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil}$ to $A_{\varphi^\dagger}$ given by $(e_i)\in A_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil}\mapsto(e_i)\in A_{\varphi^\dagger}$, where two $e_i$s correspond to the component $\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,s_i}+1}$ and $\varrho_i\boxtimes 1$, respectively. Hence we have that $\mathcal{S}_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil}\cong\mathcal{S}_{\varphi^\dagger}$.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let $\varphi$ be a generic self-dual $L$-parameter of even dimension with the decomposition (4.10) for $\varphi_\square$ (defined in (4.9)). Then for $\chi\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}_\varphi}$, the descent of the parameter $(\varphi,\chi)$ at the first occurrence index $\ell_0$ can be completely determined by the following*

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi,\chi)=\cup_\psi[\psi\boxplus\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}]_c,$$

*where $\psi$ runs through over all discrete $L$-parameters satisfying the following conditions with the minimal dimension:*

1. $\dim\psi\equiv\sum_{i=1}^r\#\mathrm{sgn}_{o,\rho_i}(\chi)\cdot\dim\rho_i(\mathrm{mod}2)$;
2. $\psi=(\boxplus_{i=1}^k\delta_i\boxtimes 1)\boxplus(\boxplus_{i=1}^r m_i\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha_{i,r_i}+1})\boxplus(\boxplus_{j=1}^s n_j\varrho_j\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{j,s_j}+2})$ *with the multiplicity $m_i,n_j\in\{0,1\}$, which are multiplicity-free;*
3. *all $\delta_i$ and $\rho_i$ are of the same type as $\psi$ and*
$$\{\delta_i\colon 1\le i\le k\}\cap\{\rho_i\colon 1\le i\le r\}=\emptyset;$$
4. $\chi_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil}|_{\mathcal{S}_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil}}=\chi^\star_{\varphi^\dagger}|_{\mathcal{S}_{\varphi^\dagger}}$ *via $\mathcal{S}_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil}\cong\mathcal{S}_{\varphi^\dagger}$, where $\chi^\star_{\varphi^\dagger}$ is the character in $\chi^\star(\varphi^\dagger,\psi)$.*

Note that $\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}$, $\varphi^\dagger$ and $\lceil\varphi_o\rceil$ are associated to $\varphi_\square$. Recall that $[\phi]_c=\{\phi,\phi^c\}$ is the $c$-conjugacy class of $\phi$, and $\chi^\star(\varphi^\dagger,\psi)$ is well-defined even though $\varphi^\dagger$ or $\psi$ is of orthogonal type of odd dimension, following from

Lemma 4.4. In addition, $\psi = 0$ is allowed. We will see some examples in Section 4.3.

Following from Corollary 4.6, let us calculate $\chi^\star(\varphi, \phi)$ for the discrete parameters first. For an $L$-parameter $\varphi$ with the decomposition (4.10), define

(4.17)
$$\varphi_*^{\diamond m}(\rho) := \begin{cases} \boxplus_{\{j:\ 1\le j\le r_i,\ \alpha_{i,j}\diamond m\}}\rho_i \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha_{i,j}}, & \text{if } \rho\cong\rho_i \text{ for some } i \\ \boxplus_{\{j:\ 1\le j\le s_i,\ \beta_{i,j}\diamond m\}}\varrho_i \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}, & \text{if } \rho\cong\varrho_i \text{ for some } i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\diamond \in \{<, >, \ge, \le\}$; $* = e$ if $\varphi$ and $\rho$ are of the different type, and $* = o$, otherwise. Also define

$$\varphi_*^-(\rho) = \varphi_* \boxminus \varphi_*(\rho), \tag{4.18}$$

which is the remaining part of the parameter $\varphi_*$ without the $\rho$-isotypic component $\varphi_*(\rho)$. For a subrepresentation $\varphi'$ of $\varphi$, write $\#\varphi'$ to be the number of the irreducible summands in $\varphi'$.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let $\varphi$ and $\phi$ be discrete $L$-parameters decomposed as in (4.10) and of different type. Then as the character of $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$,*

$$\chi_\varphi^\star((e_{i,j})|_{\varphi_e}) = \prod_{i=1}^{r}\prod_{j=1}^{r_i}(\det(\rho_i)(-1)^{\alpha_{i,j}\dim\phi}(-1)^{\#\phi_o^{<\alpha_{i,j}}(\rho_i)})^{e_{i,j}} \tag{4.19}$$

$$\chi_\varphi^\star((e_{i,j})|_{\varphi_o}) = \prod_{i=1}^{s}\prod_{j=1}^{s_i}((\prod_{l=1}^{s'}\mathcal{E}(\varrho_i,\varrho_l')^{s_l'})(-1)^{\#\phi_e^{>\beta_{i,j}}(\varrho_i)})^{e_{i,j}}, \tag{4.20}$$

*where $\mathcal{E}(\cdot,\cdot)$ is defined in (2.21).*

It is clear that $A_\varphi \cong A_{\varphi_e}\times A_{\varphi_o}$ and $\mathcal{S}_\varphi \cong A_{\varphi_e}\times\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_o}$. The isomorphism is given by $(e_i)\mapsto((e_i)|_{\varphi_e},(e_i)|_{\varphi_o})$. Equations (4.19) and (4.20) give a formula for all values of $\chi_\varphi^\star$ on $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$. Over $A_\varphi$, the formula (4.20) will be slightly different.

**Example 4.9.** *Let $\psi$ be a discrete $L$-parameter of the different type from $\varphi$ and $\psi$ of the decomposition in Item (2) of Proposition 4.7. Applying Lemma 4.8 for $\varphi^\dagger$ and $\psi$, one has*

$$\chi_{\varphi^\dagger}^\star((e_i)) = \prod_{i=1}^{s}\left((\prod_{l=1}^{k}\mathcal{E}(\varrho_i,\delta_l))\cdot(\prod_{j=1}^{r}\mathcal{E}(\varrho_i,\rho_j)^{m_j})\cdot(-1)^{n_i}\right)^{e_i}. \tag{4.21}$$

*From this example, the explicit description on $\mathcal{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi,\chi)$ is reduced to find $\psi$ satisfying Equation (4.21) of the minimal dimension.*

*Proof.* We decompose $\phi$ as in (4.10), i.e.,

$$\phi = \boxplus_{i=1}^{r'} \boxplus_{j=1}^{r'_i} \rho'_i \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha'_{i,j}} \boxplus \boxplus_{i=1}^{s'} \boxplus_{j=1}^{s'_i} \varrho'_i \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta'_{i,j}+1}, \tag{4.22}$$

where $\rho'_i$ (resp. $\varrho'_i$) is of dimension $a'_i$ (resp. $b'_i$). Note that $\rho_i$ and $\rho'_i$ are of different types, so are $\varrho_i$ and $\varrho'_i$.

First, we verify Equation (4.19). Consider a summand of form $\rho \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha}$ in $\varphi$. Write $a = \dim \rho$. Since the dimension of $\rho \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha}$ is even, $\langle (e_i)|_{\rho\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha}} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$ in both symplectic and orthogonal types. For all types, it is sufficient to show

$$\chi^\star_\varphi(1_{\rho\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha}}) = \det(\rho)(-1)^{\alpha \dim \phi}(-1)^{\#\phi_o^{<\alpha}(\rho)}. \tag{4.23}$$

Here $1_{\rho\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha}}$ corresponds to the non-trivial element in $\langle (e_i)|_{\rho\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha}} \rangle$.

By definition, if $\varphi$ is of symplectic type, then

$$\chi^\star_\varphi(1_{\rho\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha}}) = \det(\phi)(-1)^{a\alpha}\varepsilon((\rho \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha}) \otimes \phi); \tag{4.24}$$

and if $\varphi$ is of orthogonal type, then $\chi^\star_\varphi(1_{\rho\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha}}) = \varepsilon((\rho \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha}) \otimes \phi)$, as $\det(\rho) = 1$. By the definitions from (4.11), (4.12), and (4.18), we may write that $\phi = \phi_e \boxplus \phi_o = \phi_e \boxplus \phi_o(\rho) \boxplus \phi_o^-(\rho)$. It follows that $\varepsilon((\rho \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha}) \otimes \phi)$ equals

$$\varepsilon((\rho \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha}) \otimes \phi_e) \cdot \varepsilon((\rho \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha}) \otimes \phi_o(\rho)) \cdot \varepsilon((\rho \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha}) \otimes \phi_o^-(\rho)).$$

As discussed in Remark 4.2, the local root number in this case is independent of the choice of the additive character $\psi_F$. We omit $\psi_F$ in the calculation. By Lemma 4.1, $\varepsilon((\rho \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha}) \otimes (\rho'_i \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha'_{i,j}})) = 1$. It follows that $\varepsilon((\rho \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha}) \otimes \phi_e) = 1$.

Next, we calculate $\varepsilon((\rho \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha}) \otimes \phi_o(\rho))$. By definition in (4.17), we may write $\phi_o(\rho) = \phi_o^{<\alpha}(\rho) \boxplus \phi_o^{\geq\alpha}(\rho)$. It follows that

$$\varepsilon((\rho \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha}) \otimes \phi_o(\rho)) = \varepsilon((\rho \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha}) \otimes \phi_o^{<\alpha}(\rho)) \cdot \varepsilon((\rho \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha}) \otimes \phi_o^{\geq\alpha}(\rho)).$$

Since $\phi_o^{<\alpha}(\rho) = \boxplus_{\{1\leq j\leq s'_{i_0}\,:\,\beta'_{i_0,j}<\alpha\}} \varrho'_{i_0} \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta'_{i_0,j}+1}$, we have, by Part (4) of Lemma 4.1, that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon((\rho \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha}) \otimes \phi_o^{<\alpha}(\rho)) \\
=&\prod_{\{1\leq j\leq s'_{i_0}\,:\,\beta'_{i_0,j}<\alpha\}} \det(\rho)(-1)^{b'_{i_0}\alpha(2\beta'_{i_0,j}+1)} \det(\varrho'_{i_0})(-1)^{a\alpha(2\beta'_{i_0,j}+1)}(-1)^{2\beta'_{i_0,j}+1} \\
=&(-1)^{\#\phi_o^{<\alpha}(\rho)} \times \prod_{\{1\leq j\leq s'_{i_0}\,:\,\beta'_{i_0,j}<\alpha\}} \det(\rho)(-1)^{b'_{i_0}\alpha} \det(\varrho'_{i_0})(-1)^{a\alpha},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

where $i_0$ is the index of $\varrho'_{i_0}$ with $\varrho'_{i_0} = \rho$ and $\#\phi_o^{<\alpha}(\rho)$ is the number of irreducibles in $\phi_o^{<\alpha}(\rho)$, i.e., the cardinality of $\{1 \leq j \leq s'_{i_0} : \beta'_{i_0,j} < \alpha_{i,j}\}$.

Since $\phi_o^{\geq\alpha}(\rho) = \boxplus_{\{1\leq j\leq s'_{i_0}:\, \beta'_{i_0,j}\geq\alpha\}} \varrho'_{i_0} \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta'_{i_0,j}+1}$, we have, by Part (4) of Lemma 4.1 again, that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon((\rho\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha})\otimes\phi_o^{\geq\alpha}(\rho))\\
&= \prod_{\{1\leq j\leq s'_{i_0}:\, \beta'_{i_0,j}\geq\alpha\}} \det(\rho)(-1)^{b'_{i_0}\alpha(2\beta'_{i_0,j}+1)}\det(\varrho'_{i_0})(-1)^{a\alpha(2\beta'_{i_0,j}+1)}(-1)^{2\alpha}\\
&= \prod_{\{1\leq j\leq s'_{i_0}:\, \beta'_{i_0,j}\geq\alpha\}} \det(\rho)(-1)^{b'_{i_0}\alpha}\det(\varrho'_{i_0})(-1)^{a\alpha}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

On the other hand, because $\phi_o^-(\rho) = \boxplus_{\substack{i=1\\ i\neq i_0}}^{s'} \boxplus_{j=1}^{s'_i} \varrho'_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta'_{i,j}+1}$, we have, by Part (3) of Lemma 4.1, that

$$\varepsilon((\rho\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha})\otimes\phi_o^-(\rho)) = \prod_{\substack{i=1\\ i\neq i_0}}^{s'}\prod_{j=1}^{s'_i}\det(\rho)(-1)^{b'_i\alpha(2\beta'_{i,j}+1)}\det(\varrho'_i)(-1)^{a\alpha(2\beta'_{i,j}+1)}. \tag{4.27}$$

Finally, by taking the product of (4.25), (4.26), and (4.27), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon((\rho\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha})\otimes\phi_o(\rho))\cdot\varepsilon((\rho\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha})\otimes\phi_o^-(\rho))\\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{s'}\prod_{j=1}^{s'_i}\det(\rho)(-1)^{b'_i\alpha}\det(\varrho'_i)(-1)^{a\alpha}\right)\times(-1)^{\#\phi_o^{<\alpha}(\rho)}\\
&= \det(\rho)(-1)^{(\sum_{i=1}^{s'}b'_is'_i)\alpha}\times\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{s'}\det(\varrho'_i)(-1)^{s'_i}\Big)^{a\alpha}\times(-1)^{\#\phi_o^{<\alpha}(\rho)}\\
&= \det(\rho)(-1)^{\alpha\dim\phi}\times\det(\phi)(-1)^{a\alpha}\times(-1)^{\#\phi_o^{<\alpha}(\rho)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, since $\phi_e$ is of even dimension, $\sum_{i=1}^{s'}b'_is'_i$ and $\dim\phi$ are of the same parity.

If $\varphi$ is of symplectic type, continuing with (4.24), we obtain (4.23). If $\varphi$ is of orthogonal type, then $\phi$ is of symplectic type, which implies that $\det(\phi)=1$. One also has (4.23).

Next, we show (4.20) by considering summands of form $\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1}$ in $\varphi$. Write $b=\dim\varrho$.

Assume that $\varrho$ is of even dimension (that is, $b$ is even). In this case, since $\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1}$ has even dimension, $\langle(e_i)|_{\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1}}\rangle\cong\mathbb{Z}_2$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$ regardless to the type of $\varphi$. Similarly, denote by $1_{\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1}}$ the

nontrivial element in $\left\langle (e_i)|_{\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1}}\right\rangle$. By definition, $\chi^\star_\varphi(1_{\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1}})$ equals (4.28)

$$\begin{cases}\det(\phi)(-1)^{\frac{b(2\beta+1)}{2}}\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi) & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is of symplectic type}\\ \det(\varrho)(-1)^m\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi) & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is of orthogonal type,}\end{cases}$$

where $m=\frac{\dim\phi}{2}$. Under the assumption, we need to show that

$$\chi^\star_\varphi(1_{\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1}})=\prod_{i=1}^{s'}\mathcal{E}(\varrho,\varrho'_i)^{s'_i}(-1)^{\#\phi_e^{>\beta}(\varrho)}. \tag{4.29}$$

Recall from (2.21) that

$$\mathcal{E}(\varrho,\varrho'_i)=\begin{cases}\det(\varrho'_i)(-1)^{\frac{b}{2}}\varepsilon(\varrho\otimes\varrho'_i), & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is of symplectic type}\\ \det(\varrho)(-1)^{\frac{b'_i}{2}}\varepsilon(\varrho\otimes\varrho'_i), & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is of orthogonal type.}\end{cases}$$

We may write that $\phi=\phi_e\boxplus\phi_o=\phi_e(\varrho)\boxplus\phi_e^-(\varrho)\boxplus\phi_o$, following from (4.11), (4.12), and (4.18) again. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi)=&\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi_e)\cdot\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi_o,\psi_F);\\ \varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi_e)=&\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi_e(\varrho))\cdot\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi_e^-(\varrho)).\end{aligned}$$

Here only the term $\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi_o,\psi_F)$ is possibly dependent of $\psi_F$. By Part (2) of Lemma 4.1, when $\varrho\otimes\varrho'_i$ is of symplectic type, we have that

$$\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes(\varrho'_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta'_{i,j}+1}),\psi_F)=\varepsilon(\varrho\times\varrho'_i),$$

which is independent of $\psi_F$. As $\phi_o=\boxplus_{i=1}^{s'}\boxplus_{j=1}^{s'_i}\varrho'_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta'_{i,j}+1}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi_o,\psi_F)=&\prod_{i=1}^{s'}\prod_{j=1}^{s'_i}\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes(\varrho'_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta'_{i,j}+1}),\psi_F)\\ =&\prod_{i=1}^{s'}\varepsilon(\varrho\otimes\varrho'_i)^{s'_i},\end{aligned}$$

which is independent of $\psi_F$.

Now, let us calculate the first two terms: $\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi_e(\varrho))$ and $\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi_e^-(\varrho))$.

Since $\phi_e^-(\varrho) = \boxplus_{\substack{i=1\\ i\neq i_0}}^{r'} \boxplus_{j=1}^{r'_i} \rho'_i \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha'_{i,j}}$, by Part (3) of Lemma 4.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi_e^-(\varrho))\\ &=\prod_{\substack{i=1\\ i\neq i_0}}^{r'}\prod_{j=1}^{r'_i}\det(\varrho)(-1)^{a'_i\alpha'_{i,j}(2\beta+1)}\det(\rho'_i)(-1)^{b\alpha'_{i,j}(2\beta+1)},\end{aligned}\tag{4.30}$$

where $i_0$ is the index of $\rho'_{i_0}$ with $\rho'_{i_0}=\varrho$.

Similar to (4.25) and (4.26), we write that $\phi_e(\varrho)=\phi_e^{\leq\beta}(\varrho)\boxplus\phi_e^{>\beta}(\varrho)$. Since $\phi_e^{\leq\beta}(\varrho)=\boxplus_{\{1\leq j\leq r'_{i_0}:\,\alpha'_{i_0,j}\leq\beta\}}\rho'_{i_0}\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha'_{i_0,j}}$, we have, by Part (4) of Lemma 4.1, that

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi_e^{\leq\beta}(\varrho))\\ &=\prod_{\{1\leq j\leq r'_{i_0}:\,\alpha'_{i_0,j}\leq\beta\}}\det(\varrho)(-1)^{a'_{i_0}\alpha'_{i_0,j}(2\beta+1)}\det(\rho'_{i_0})(-1)^{b\alpha'_{i_0,j}(2\beta+1)}\cdot(-1)^{2\alpha'_{i_0,j}}\\ &=\prod_{\{1\leq j\leq r'_{i_0}:\,\alpha'_{i_0,j}\leq\beta\}}\det(\varrho)(-1)^{a'_{i_0}\alpha'_{i_0,j}}\det(\rho'_{i_0})(-1)^{b\alpha'_{i_0,j}}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.31}$$

Since $\phi_e^{>\beta}(\varrho)=\boxplus_{\{1\leq j\leq r'_{i_0}:\,\alpha'_{i_0,j}>\beta\}}\rho'_{i_0}\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha'_{i_0,j}}$, we have, by Part (4) of Lemma 4.1, that

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi_e^{>\beta}(\varrho))\\ &=\prod_{\{1\leq j\leq r'_{i_0}:\,\alpha'_{i_0,j}>\beta\}}\det(\varrho)(-1)^{a'_{i_0}\alpha'_{i_0,j}(2\beta+1)}\det(\rho'_{i_0})(-1)^{b\alpha'_{i_0,j}(2\beta+1)}\cdot(-1)^{2\beta+1}\\ &=(-1)^{\#\phi_e^{>\beta}(\varrho)}\times\prod_{\{1\leq j\leq r'_{i_0}:\,\alpha'_{i_0,j}>\beta\}}\det(\varrho)(-1)^{a'_{i_0}\alpha'_{i_0,j}}\det(\rho'_{i_0})(-1)^{b\alpha'_{i_0,j}},\end{aligned}\tag{4.32}$$

where $\#\phi_e^{>\beta}(\varrho)$ is the number of irreducibles in $\phi_e^{>\beta}(\varrho)$.

Finally, by taking the product of (4.30), (4.31), and (4.32), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi_e(\varrho))\cdot\varepsilon((\varrho\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta+1})\otimes\phi_e^-(\varrho))\\ &=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r'}\prod_{j=1}^{r'_i}\det(\varrho)(-1)^{a'_i\alpha'_{i,j}}\det(\rho'_i)(-1)^{b\alpha'_{i,j}}\right)\times(-1)^{\#\phi_e^{>\beta}(\varrho)}\\ &=\det(\varrho)(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{r'}\sum_{j=1}^{r'_i}a'_i\alpha'_{i,j}}\cdot(-1)^{\#\phi_e^{>\beta}(\varrho)}.\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $b$ is even and $\det \rho_i'(-1)^b = 1$.

If $\varphi$ is of symplectic type, then $\varrho$ is of symplectic type, which implies that $\det(\varrho)(-1) = 1$. Continuing with (4.28) and by

$$\det(\phi)(-1) = \det(\phi_o)(-1) = \prod_{i=1}^{s'} \det(\varrho_i)(-1)^{s_i'},$$

one has (4.29).

If $\varphi$ is of orthogonal type and $b$ is even, then $\varrho$ and $\rho_i'$ are of orthogonal type and $\varrho_i'$ is of symplectic type. Because

$$m \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{r'} \sum_{j=1}^{r_i'} a_i' \alpha_{i,j}' + \sum_{i=1}^{s'} s_i' \frac{b_i'}{2} \bmod 2,$$

continuing with (4.28), one obtains (4.29).

Assume that $b = \dim \varrho$ is odd, which implies that $\varphi$ is of orthogonal type. Let $\varrho_0 \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta_0+1}$ be any different summand in $\varphi$ such that $b_0 := \dim \varrho_0$ is odd. Consider the following subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$

$$\left\langle (e_i)|_{\varrho \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta+1} \boxplus \varrho_0 \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta_0+1}} : (e_i) \in \mathcal{S}_\varphi \right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Denote by $1_{\varrho \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta+1} \boxplus \varrho_0 \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta_0+1}}$ the non-trivial element in the above subgroup. If $\varrho_0 \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta_0+1}$ does not exist, we do not need to consider this case as $\chi_\varphi^\star$ is a character of $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi_\varphi^\star(1_{\varrho \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta+1} \boxplus \varrho_0 \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta_0+1}}) \\
&= \det(\varrho)(-1)^m \det(\varrho_0)(-1)^m \varepsilon((\varrho \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta+1}) \otimes \phi) \varepsilon((\varrho_0 \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta_0+1}) \otimes \phi).
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the above calculation, one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi_\varphi^\star(1_{\varrho \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta+1} \boxplus \varrho_0 \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta_0+1}}) \\
&= \det(\varrho)(-1)^m \cdot \det(\varrho_0)(-1)^m \\
&\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^{r'} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i'} (\det(\varrho)(-1) \det(\varrho_0)(-1))^{a_i' \alpha_{i,j}'} \prod_{i=1}^{r'} \prod_{j=1}^{r_i'} \det(\rho_i')(-1)^{(b+b_0)\alpha_{i,j}'} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^{s'} \varepsilon(\varrho \otimes \varrho_i')^{s_i'}(-1)^{\#\phi_e^{>\beta}(\varrho)} \prod_{i=1}^{s'} \varepsilon(\varrho_0 \otimes \varrho_i')^{s_i'}(-1)^{\#\phi_e^{>\beta}(\varrho_0)} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{s'} (\det(\varrho)(-1)^{\frac{b_i'}{2}} \varepsilon(\varrho \otimes \varrho_i'))^{s_i'}(-1)^{\#\phi_e^{>\beta}(\varrho)} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^{s'} (\det(\varrho_0)(-1)^{\frac{b_i'}{2}} \varepsilon(\varrho_0 \otimes \varrho_i'))^{s_i'}(-1)^{\#\phi_e^{>\beta}(\varrho_0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by putting all the calculations above together, we obtain (4.20). □

*Proof of Proposition 4.7.* Following the Proof of Proposition 4.5, we have that $\mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi,\chi) = \mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi_\square,\chi)$, and all local $L$-parameters $\phi$ in $\mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi_\square,\chi)$ are discrete. It is sufficient to consider the case that $\varphi$ is discrete. We will prove this proposition by two steps. First, under the assumption that $\chi_\varphi^\star = \chi$ we conclude the necessary conditions in Items (2) and (3). Note that Item (1) holds by the definition. Secondly, under the assumption on $\phi$ that the decomposition $\psi \boxplus \varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}$ satisfies Items (2) and (3), we show that $\chi_\varphi^\star = \chi$ is equivalent to $\chi_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil} = \chi_{\varphi^\dagger}^\star$, which is Item (4). Finally, by the minimality condition on $\dim\phi$, we complete the proof.

**Step 1.** Take $\phi$ in $\mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi,\chi)$. Then $\phi$ is of the minimal even dimension such that $\chi_\varphi^\star = \chi$ for the pair $(\varphi,\phi)$. By Proposition 4.5, $\phi$ is discrete.

First, we consider the subrepresentation $\phi_o(\rho_i)$. By (4.19) in Lemma 4.8, the parity of $\#\phi_o^{<\alpha_{i,j}}(\rho_i)$ is determined by $\chi(1_{\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{\alpha_{i,j}}})$. By (4.20), the value $\chi_\varphi^\star((e_{i,j})|_{\varphi_o})$ is partially affected by $\varepsilon(\varrho\otimes\varrho'_{i'})^{s'_{i'}}$ with $\varrho'_{i'}\cong\rho_i$ where $s'_{i'} = \#\phi_o(\rho_i)$, and more precisely by the parity of $s'_{i'}$. By the minimality of $\dim\phi$, one has that

$$\phi_o^{<\alpha_{i,r_i}}(\rho_i) = \boxplus_{i=1}^r \boxplus_{j\in\mathrm{sgn}_{o,\rho_i}(\chi)} \rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha_{i,j}+1},$$

where $\mathrm{sgn}_{o,\rho_i}(\chi)$ is defined in (4.13).

Next, we consider the component $\phi_e(\varrho_i)$. For $1\le j_1<j_2\le s_i$, by Lemma 4.8, we have that

$$\chi(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j_1}+1}\boxplus\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j_2}+1}}) = (-1)^{\#\phi_e^{>\beta_{i,j_1}}(\varrho_i)+\#\phi_e^{>\beta_{i,j_2}}(\varrho_i)}. \tag{4.33}$$

The parity of $\#\phi_e^{>\beta_{i,j_1}}(\varrho_i)\pm\#\phi_e^{>\beta_{i,j_2}}(\varrho_i)$ is uniquely determined by $\chi(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j_1}+1}\boxplus\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j_2}+1}})$. In addition, $\chi_\varphi^\star((e_i)|_{\varphi^-(\varrho)})$ is independent of $\phi_e(\varrho_i)$. The only requirement on $\phi_e^{<\beta_{i,s_i}}(\varrho_i)$ is that (4.33) holds for all $1\le j_1<j_2\le s_i$. Then by the minimality of $\dim\phi$ one has, for $1\le j<r_i$, that

$$\phi_e^{>\beta_{i,j}}(\varrho_i)\boxminus\phi_e^{>\beta_{i,j+1}}(\varrho_i) = \begin{cases} \varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2(\beta_{i,j}+1)} & \text{if } \chi(\cdot)=-1,\\ 0 & \text{if } \chi(\cdot)=1.\end{cases}$$

Here $\chi(\cdot) = \chi(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}\boxplus\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j+1}+1}})$ for simplicity. Thus, we obtain that

$$\phi_e^{<\beta_{i,s_i}}(\varrho_i) = \boxplus_{i=1}^s \boxplus_{j\in\mathrm{sgn}_{e,\varrho_i}(\chi)} \varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+2}$$

where $\mathrm{sgn}_{e,\varrho_i}(\chi)$ is defined in (4.14).

Now we rewrite $\phi = \psi \boxplus \varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}$, where $\psi$ and $\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}$ (see (4.15) for definition) have no shared irreducibles. By the above discussion, Example 4.9 and Lemma 4.8, we may assume that $\psi_o^{\leq \alpha_{i,r_i}}(\rho_i)$ and $\psi_e^{\leq \beta_{i,s_i}}(\varrho_i)$ are zero for all $\rho_i$ and $\varrho_i$. In the rest of the proof, we will repeatedly apply Lemma 4.8 and the minimality of $\dim \phi$ to obtain the requirements on $\psi$. First, no matter what $\psi_e(\rho)$ for $\rho \notin \{\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_s\}$ are, $\chi_\varphi^\star$ does not change in (4.19) and (4.20). It follows that $\psi_e(\rho) = 0$ for $\rho \notin \{\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_s\}$. Next, note that for all $\varrho_i$ only the parity of $\#\psi_e^{>\beta_{i,s_i}}(\varrho_i)$ has non-trivial contribution in (4.19) and (4.20), which implies, for all $1 \leq j \leq s$, that

$$\psi_e(\varrho_i) = n_j \varrho_j \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta_{j,s_j}+2}, \tag{4.34}$$

where $n_j \in \{0, 1\}$. Finally, let us consider $\psi_o(\rho)$ in two cases: $\rho \notin \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r\}$ or $\rho \cong \rho_i$ for some $i$. If $\rho \notin \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r\}$, only the parity of $\#\psi_o(\rho)$ is involved in (4.20). When $\psi_o(\rho) \neq 0$, one has that

$$\psi_o(\rho) = \rho \boxtimes 1. \tag{4.35}$$

If $\rho \cong \rho_i$ for some $i$, only the parity of $\#\mathrm{sgn}_{o,\rho_i}(\chi) - \#\psi_o^{\geq \alpha_{i,r_i}}(\rho_i)$ possibly changes the value of $\chi_\varphi^\star$ in (4.20). Thus, we obtain that

$$\psi_o(\rho_i) = m_i \rho_i \boxtimes \mu_{2\alpha_{i,r_i}+1}, \tag{4.36}$$

where $m_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Combining (4.34), (4.35) and (4.36) all together, we obtain Items (2) and (3), which are necessary conditions on $\psi$.

**Step 2.** Assume that $\phi = \psi \boxplus \varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}$ and $\psi$ is of the form in Item (2) satisfying Item (3). By the above discussion and (4.19), $\chi|_{\varphi_e} = \chi_\varphi^\star|_{\varphi_e}$. In order to complete the proof, it is sufficient to show that $\chi|_{\varphi_o} = \chi_\varphi^\star|_{\varphi_o}$ if and only if $\chi_{\lceil \varphi_o \rceil} = \chi_{\varphi^\dagger}^\star$. In the rest of the proof, all the characters are on the corresponding subgroups $\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_o}$, $\mathcal{S}_{\lceil \varphi_o \rceil}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\varphi^\dagger}$.

By applying (4.20) in Lemma 4.8 to $\varphi$ and $\varphi^\dagger$, and by (4.21) in Example 4.9, we have

$$\chi_\varphi^\star((e_{i,j})|_{\varphi_o}) = \chi_{\varphi^\dagger}^\star((\sum_{j=1}^{s_i} e_{i,j})) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{s_i} ((-1)^{\#\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn},e}^{>\beta_{i,j}}(\varrho_i)})^{e_{i,j}}. \tag{4.37}$$

Define $f \colon (e_{i,j})|_{\varphi_o} \in \mathcal{S}_{\varphi_o} \mapsto (\sum_{j=1}^{s_i} e_{i,j}) \in A_{\varphi^\dagger}$, where $\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_o}$ is considered as a subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$. It is easy to check that $f$ is surjective on $\mathcal{S}_{\varphi^\dagger}$. (In general it is not surjective on $A_{\varphi^\dagger}$.) Denote $f|_{\lceil \varphi_o \rceil}$ to be the restriction map into $\mathcal{S}_{\lceil \varphi_o \rceil}$. Then $f|_{\lceil \varphi_o \rceil}$ is an isomorphism. Following (4.37) and by $\#\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn},e}^{>\beta_{i,s_i}}(\varrho_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, one has that

$$\chi_\varphi^\star((e_{i,j})|_{\lceil \varphi_o \rceil}) = \chi_{\varphi^\dagger}^\star((e_{i,s_i})) = \chi_{\varphi^\dagger}^\star(f((e_{i,j})|_{\lceil \varphi_o \rceil})). \tag{4.38}$$

By (4.38), if $\chi|_{\varphi_o} = \chi_\varphi^\star|_{\varphi_o}$ then $\chi_{\lceil \varphi_o \rceil} = \chi_{\varphi^\dagger}^\star$ as $f|_{\lceil \varphi_o \rceil}$ is an isomorphism.

Suppose that $\chi_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil} = \chi^\star_{\varphi^\dagger}$. Let $\varrho_i \boxtimes \mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}$ be an irreducible subrepresentation of $\varphi_o$. We consider two cases: $\dim \varrho_i$ is even and $\dim \varrho_i$ is odd, respectively, as $\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_o}$ is generated by the elements of two types $1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}}$, and $1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}\boxplus\varrho_{i'}\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i',j'}+1}}$ with $i\neq i'$, or $i=i'$ and $j\neq j'$.

Assume that $\dim \varrho_i$ is even. In this case, $1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}}$ is in $\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_o}$. Set

$$j_0 = \#\{j \le l < s_i \colon l \in \mathrm{sgn}_{e,\varrho_i}(\chi)\} = \#\varphi^{>\beta_{i,j}}_{\mathrm{sgn},e}(\varrho_i).$$

By the definition of $\mathrm{sgn}_{e,\varrho_i}(\chi)$, one has that

$$\chi(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}}) = (-1)^{j_0}\chi(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,s_i}+1}}) = (-1)^{j_0}\chi_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil}(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,s_i}+1}}).$$

By (4.37), we have that $\chi^\star_\varphi(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}}) = \chi^\star_{\varphi^\dagger}(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes 1})(-1)^{\#\varphi^{>\beta_{i,j}}_{\mathrm{sgn},e}(\varrho_i)}$. Thus, we obtain that $\chi(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}}) = \chi^\star_\varphi(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}})$.

Assume that $\dim \varrho_i$ is odd. Consider the elements in $\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_o}$ of form $1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}\boxplus\varrho_{i'}\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i',j'}+1}}$ with $i\neq i'$, or $i=i'$ and $j\neq j'$. Suppose that $i=i'$ and $j<j'$. Set

$$j_0 = \#\{j \le l < j' \colon l \in \mathrm{sgn}_{e,\varrho_i}(\chi)\} = \#\varphi^{>\beta_{i,j}}_{\mathrm{sgn},e}(\varrho_i) - \#\varphi^{>\beta_{i,j'}}_{\mathrm{sgn},e}(\varrho_i).$$

One has that

$$\chi(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}\boxplus\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j'}+1}}) = (-1)^{j_0} = (-1)^{\#\varphi^{>\beta_{i,j}}_{\mathrm{sgn},e}(\varrho_i)-\#\varphi^{>\beta_{i,j'}}_{\mathrm{sgn},e}(\varrho_i)}.$$

Since $f(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}\boxplus\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j'}+1}}) = 0 \in \mathcal{S}_{\varphi^\dagger}$, one has, by (4.37), that

$$\chi^\star_\varphi(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}\boxplus\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j'}+1}}) = \chi^\star_{\varphi^\dagger}(0)(-1)^{\#\varphi^{>\beta_{i,j}}_{\mathrm{sgn},e}(\varrho_i)+\#\varphi^{>\beta_{i,j'}}_{\mathrm{sgn},e}(\varrho_i)},$$

which is equal to $\chi(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}\boxplus\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j'}+1}})$.

Suppose that $i\neq i'$. Denote $1_{(i,j),(l,k)} = 1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}\boxplus\varrho_l\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{l,k}+1}}$. When $i=l$ and $j=k$, define that $1_{(i,j),(l,k)}=0$. Then $1_{(i,j),(l,k)}$ is in $\mathcal{S}_{\varphi_o}$. We rewrite that

$$1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i,j}+1}\boxplus\varrho_{i'}\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i',j'}+1}} = 1_{(i,j),(i,s_i)} + 1_{(i,s_i),(i',s_{i'})} + 1_{(i',s_{i'}),(i',j')}.$$

In the above case, we proved that $\chi(1_{(i,j),(i,s_i)}) = \chi^\star_\varphi(1_{(i,j),(i,s_i)})$ and $\chi(1_{(i',s_{i'}),(i',j')}) = \chi^\star_\varphi(1_{(i',s_{i'}),(i',j')})$.

It remains to show that $\chi(1_{(i,s_i),(i',s_{i'})}) = \chi^\star_\varphi(1_{(i,s_i),(i',s_{i'})})$ with $i\neq i'$. By definition, one has that $\chi(1_{(i,s_i),(i',s_{i'})}) = \chi_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil}(1_{(i,s_i),(i',s_{i'})})$. Note that $\#\varphi^{>\beta_{i,s_i}}_{\mathrm{sgn},e}(\varrho_i) = \#\varphi^{>\beta_{i',s_{i'}}}_{\mathrm{sgn},e}(\varrho_{i'}) = 0$. It then follows from (4.37) that $\chi^\star_\varphi(1_{(i,s_i),(i',s_{i'})}) = \chi^\star_{\varphi^\dagger}(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes 1\boxplus\varrho_{i'}\boxtimes 1})$, which implies that $\chi(1_{(i,s_i),(i',s_{i'})}) = \chi^\star_\varphi(1_{(i,s_i),(i',s_{i'})})$. Therefore, we complete the proof.

□

In order to prove Theorem 1.5, it remains to show that the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ (at the first occurrence index $\ell_0 = \ell_0(\pi)$ of $\pi$) can be expressed as a direct sum of irreducible square-integrable representations of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(F)$.

**Proposition 4.10.** *For any $\pi \in \Pi(G_n)$ with a generic L-parameter, the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ at the first occurrence index $\ell_0 = \ell_0(\pi)$ of $\pi$ can be written as a multiplicity-free direct sum of irreducible square-integrable representations of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(F)$, and hence is square-integrable.*

*Proof.* Assume that $\pi = \pi_a(\varphi, \chi)$ under local Langlands correspondence $\iota_a$ for both even and odd special orthogonal groups discussed in Section 2.2. The local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ is a smooth representation of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(F)$. By the Bernstein decomposition, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ is a direct sum of its Bernstein components. Thus, it is sufficient to show that if $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ are non-isomorphic irreducible quotients of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$, i.e.

$$m(\pi_a(\varphi, \chi), \sigma_1) = m(\pi_a(\varphi, \chi), \sigma_2) = 1,$$

then $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ have different cuspidal supports. Proposition 4.5 asserts that $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ are square-integrable.

By Corollary 4.6, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\varphi$ is discrete. Then $\sigma_1 = \pi_b(\phi_1, \xi_1)$ and $\sigma_2 = \pi_b(\phi_2, \xi_2)$ are of form in Proposition 4.7. We have decompositions $\sigma_i = \psi_i \boxplus \varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $\lambda_{\phi_i}$ be the infinitesimal characters of $\phi_i$ (as explained in [3, Page 69], for instance). That is,

$$\lambda_{\phi_i}(w) = \phi_i\left(w, \begin{pmatrix} |w|^{\frac{1}{2}} & \\ & |w|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Referring to [5], if $\lambda_{\phi_1} \neq \lambda_{\phi_2}$, then $\Pi_{\phi_1}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}})$ and $\Pi_{\phi_2}(G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}})$ belong to different Bernstein components. Because $\phi_1 \neq \phi_2$, we have that $\psi_1 \neq \psi_2$, which implies that $\lambda_{\psi_1} \neq \lambda_{\psi_2}$. Then $\lambda_{\phi_1} \neq \lambda_{\phi_2}$. Hence each Bernstein component of the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ has a unique irreducible quotient, which is square-integrable by Proposition 4.5. By the definition of the $\ell$-th local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$, which is the $\ell$-th maximal quotient of the $\ell$-th twisted Jacquet module $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{O}_\ell}(\pi)$, it follows that each Bernstein component of the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ is an irreducible square-integrable representation of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(F)$. Therefore, the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ is a multiplicity-free direct sum of irreducible square-integrable representations of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(F)$, and hence is itself square-integrable. □

Clearly, Theorem 1.5 follows from Proposition 4.10 and Theorem 1.7 follows from Proposition 4.10, Proposition 4.7 and Theorem 2.4.

Finally, following Proposition 4.7 and Lemma 4.8, we are able to obtain a formula of $\chi^\star_\phi(\varphi,\phi)$ (simply written as $\chi^\star_\phi$) in Theorem 1.7, which with the $L$-parameter $\phi\in\mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi,\chi)$ determines the irreducible square-integrable representations $\sigma=\pi(\phi,\chi^\star_\phi(\varphi,\phi))$ of $G_n^{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(F)$, or more precisely $\pi_{-a}(\phi,\chi^\star_\phi(\varphi,\phi))$ via the given local Langlands correspondence, occurring as irreducible summands in the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi(\varphi,\chi))$.

Assume that $\phi\in\mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi,\chi)$ is as given in Proposition 4.7, which can be written as

$$\phi=(\boxplus_{l=1}^k\delta_l\boxtimes 1)\boxplus(\boxplus_{\mathrm{i}=1}^r m_\mathrm{i}\rho_\mathrm{i}\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha_{\mathrm{i},r_\mathrm{i}}+1})\boxplus(\boxplus_{\mathrm{i}'=1}^s n_{\mathrm{i}'}\varrho_{\mathrm{i}'}\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{\mathrm{i}',s_{\mathrm{i}'}}+2})\boxplus\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}.$$

Here the notation follows from Proposition 4.7. We may use more self-explanatory notation for the elements in $A_\phi$ to make the formula clearer. A general element of $A_\phi$ is written as

$$((e_{\delta,l}),(e_{\rho,\mathrm{i}}),(e_{\varrho,\mathrm{i}'}),(e_{i,j}),(\mathfrak{e}_{i',j'})).$$

Those components correspond to the components determined by the summands: $\delta_\mathrm{i}\boxtimes 1$, $m_\mathrm{i}\rho_\mathrm{i}\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha_{\mathrm{i},r_\mathrm{i}}+1}$, $n_{\mathrm{i}'}\varrho_{\mathrm{i}'}\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{\mathrm{i}',s_{\mathrm{i}'}}+2}$, $\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2\alpha_{i,j}+1}$, and $\varrho_{i'}\boxtimes\mu_{2\beta_{i',j'}+2}$, respectively. Note that $(e_{i,j})$ and $(\mathfrak{e}_{i',j'})$ are indexed by $1\le i\le r$ and $j\in\mathrm{sgn}_{o,\rho_i}(\chi)$, and by $1\le i'\le s$ and $j'\in\mathrm{sgn}_{e,\varrho_{i'}}(\chi)$, respectively.

**Corollary 4.11.** *With the notation as in Theorem 1.7 and Proposition 4.7, for $\phi\in\mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi,\chi)$, the character $\chi^\star_\phi((e_{\delta,l}),(e_{\rho,\mathrm{i}}),(e_{\varrho,\mathrm{i}'}),(e_{i,j}),(\mathfrak{e}_{i',j'}))$ can be explicitly written as following product:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{l=1}^k\Big(\prod_{l'=1}^s\mathcal{E}(\delta_l,\varrho_{l'})^{s_{l'}}\Big)^{e_{\delta,l}}\times\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^r\Big(\prod_{l'=1}^s\mathcal{E}(\rho_\mathrm{i},\varrho_{l'})^{s_{l'}}\Big)^{m_\mathrm{i}e_{\rho,\mathrm{i}}}\\
&\times\prod_{i=1}^r\prod_{j\in\mathrm{sgn}_{o,\rho_i}(\chi)}\Big(\prod_{l'=1}^s\mathcal{E}(\rho_i,\varrho_{l'})^{s_{l'}}\cdot(-1)^{r_i-j}\Big)^{e_{i,j}}\\
&\times\prod_{\mathrm{i}'=1}^s(-1)^{n_{\mathrm{i}'}s_{\mathrm{i}'}e_{\mathrm{i}'}}\times\prod_{i'=1}^s\prod_{j'\in\mathrm{sgn}_{e,\varrho_{i'}}(\chi)}(-1)^{j'\mathfrak{e}_{i',j'}},
\end{aligned}$$

*which can also be written as the following product:*

$$\prod_{l=1}^{k}(\prod_{l'=1}^{s}\mathcal{E}(\delta_l,\varrho_{l'})^{s_{l'}})^{e_{\delta,l}}\times(\prod_{l'=1}^{s}\mathcal{E}(\rho_{\mathrm{i}},\varrho_{l'})^{s_{l'}})^{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{r}m_{\mathrm{i}}e_{\rho,\mathrm{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j\in\mathrm{sgn}_{o,\rho_i}(\chi)}e_{i,j}}$$
$$\times\prod_{i=1}^{r}\prod_{j\in\mathrm{sgn}_{o,\rho_i}(\chi)}(-1)^{(r_i-j)e_{i,j}}\times\prod_{\mathrm{i}'=1}^{s}(-1)^{n_{\mathrm{i}'}s_{\mathrm{i}'}e_{\mathrm{i}'}}\times\prod_{i'=1}^{s}\prod_{j'\in\mathrm{sgn}_{e,\varrho_{i'}}(\chi)}(-1)^{j'\mathfrak{e}_{i',j'}}.$$

4.3. **Examples.** We consider the descents for two special families of the discrete local $L$-parameters. The spectral decomposition of the local descents in those cases can be even more explicitly described.

**(a) Cuspidal Local $L$-parameters:** In order to understand the summand $\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}$ defined in (4.15) occurring in the local descent $\mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi,\chi)$, we give an example on such summands for cuspidal local $L$-parameters, which is called *cuspidal Langlands parameters* in [5, Definition 6.8], and their descents.

In [5, Conjecture 7.5], Aubert, Moussaoui, and Solleveld conjectured that an $L$-packet $\Pi_\varphi(G_n)$ of a reductive group contains a supercuspidal representation if and only if $\varphi$ is a cuspidal $L$-parameter. For the split orthogonal groups and symplectic group cases, Moussaoui [30] verified this conjecture and gave a description of cuspidal $L$-parameters. Take

$$\varphi=\boxplus_{i=1}^{r}\boxplus_{j=1}^{r_i}\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2j}\boxplus\boxplus_{i=1}^{s}\boxplus_{j=1}^{s_i}\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2j-1},$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{s}s_ib_i$ (here $b_i=\dim\varrho_i$) is even. By Proposition 3.7, [30], $\varphi$ is a cuspidal $L$-parameter for split even orthogonal group $G_n$ when $\varphi$ is of orthogonal type and $\prod_{i=1}^{s}\det\varrho_i=1$.

Similar to (2.8), we write the elements in $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$ in the form $((e_{i,j}),(\mathfrak{e}_{i,j}))$, indexed by the set

$$\{e_{i,j}\colon 1\le i\le r,\ 1\le j\le r_i\}\cup\{\mathfrak{e}_{i,j}\colon 1\le i\le s,\ 1\le j\le s_i\}.$$

The index sets correspond to the summands $\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2j}$ and $\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2j-1}$ respectively. If $\varrho_i$ has even dimension for all $1\le i\le s$, then

$$\mathcal{S}_\varphi=\{((e_{i,j}),(\mathfrak{e}_{i,j}))\in\mathbb{Z}_2^r\times\mathbb{Z}_2^s\colon e_{i,j},\mathfrak{e}_{i,j}\in\{0,1\}\}.$$

Otherwise, $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$ is the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^r\times\mathbb{Z}_2^s$ consisting of elements with the condition that $\sum_{i,j}\mathfrak{e}_{i,j}\dim\varrho_i$ is even. Define the character $\chi$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\varphi$ by

$$\chi((e_{i,j}),(\mathfrak{e}_{i,j}))=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\prod_{j=1}^{r_i}(-1)^{(j+r_i)e_{i,j}}\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{s}\prod_{j=1}^{s_i}(-1)^{(j+s_i)\mathfrak{e}_{i,j}}.$$

**Remark 4.12.** *In some cases, the associated representation $\pi_a(\varphi,\chi)$ is supercuspidal. For instance, take $\varphi=\boxplus_{i=1}^{r}\boxplus_{j=1}^{2r_i}\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2j}$. By definition, $\chi(1_{\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_2})=-1$ for all $1\le i\le r$ and $\chi((1))=1$ where $(1)$ is*

*the element with $e_{i,j}=1$ for all $i,j$ (i.e., the center element in $\hat{G}_n$). Thus, $\pi_a(\varphi,\chi)$ is a representation of symplectic groups or split even special orthogonal groups, because* $\det(\varphi)=1$. *By [30], $\pi_a(\varphi,\chi)$ is supercuspidal.*

Under the above assumption, by (4.15), we have that

$$\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}=\boxplus_{i=1}^{r}\boxplus_{j=1}^{2[\frac{r_i}{2}]}\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2(r_i-j)+1}\boxplus\boxplus_{i=1}^{s}\boxplus_{j=1}^{s_i-1}\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2j}.$$

By convention, the summand $\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2j}$ is empty if $s_i=1$. As $\sum_{j=1}^{s}s_jb_j$ is even and

$$\dim\varphi-\dim\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}=\sum_{i=1}^{r}2a_i[\frac{r_i+1}{2}]+\sum_{j=1}^{s}s_jb_j,$$

we deduce that $\dim\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}$ is even. By the definition in (4.16), one has that

$$\lceil\varphi_o\rceil=\boxplus_{i=1}^{s}\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2s_i-1}\text{ and }\chi_{\lceil\varphi_o\rceil}=1.$$

According to Proposition 4.7, if $\phi\in\mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi,\chi)$, we may decompose $\phi$ as $\phi=\psi\boxplus\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}$, where $\psi$ satisfies the conditions in Proposition 4.7. Then $\psi=0$ is the unique choice. In fact, $\dim\psi=0$ is even the minimal dimension. By convention, $\chi^{\star}_{\varphi^\dagger}(\varphi^\dagger,\psi)=1$. Thus,

(4.39)

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\ell_0}(\varphi,\chi)=[\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}]_c=\{\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}},\varphi^c_{\mathrm{sgn}}\}\text{ and }\ell_0=\sum_{i=1}^{r}a_i[\frac{r_i+1}{2}]+\sum_{j=1}^{s}\frac{s_jb_j}{2}.$$

Similarly, assume that the elements in $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ are of form $((e'_{i,j}),(\mathfrak{e}'_{i,j}))$, where $e'_{i,j}$ and $\mathfrak{e}'_{i,j}$ correspond to $\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2(r_i-j)+1}$-component and $\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2j}$-component respectively. Define the character $\zeta\in\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\phi$ with the following conditions: for all $i$

- $\zeta(1_{\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2(r_i-j)+1}})=1$ when $j=2[\frac{r_i}{2}]$ and $\zeta(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_2})=-1$;
- $\zeta(1_{\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2(r_i-j)-1}\boxplus\rho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2(r_i-j)+1}})=-1$ for $1\le j<2[\frac{r_i}{2}]$;
- $\zeta(1_{\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2j}\boxplus\varrho_i\boxtimes\mu_{2(j+1)}})=-1$ for $1\le j<s_i-1$.

By Lemma 4.8, one has that $(\chi,\zeta)=\chi^\star(\varphi,\phi)$. By choosing the quadratic spaces and the Langlands correspondence as in Theorem 2.4, we have that $m(\pi_a(\varphi,\chi),\pi_a(\phi,\zeta))=1$.

For example, when $G_n=\mathrm{SO}(W_{2n+1})$ is an odd special orthogonal group, take $\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}$ with $\mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0})=\mathrm{disc}(W)$ as $\det(\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}})=1$, which is the unique $F$-rational orbit such that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi(\varphi,\chi))\neq0$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi(\varphi,\chi))=\begin{cases}\pi_a(\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}},\zeta) & \text{if some }\dim\rho_i\text{ is odd,}\\ \pi_a(\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}},\zeta)\oplus\pi_a(\varphi^c_{\mathrm{sgn}},\zeta) & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

where $a = -\mathrm{disc}(W)$. Note that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi(\varphi,\chi))$ is a representation defining a pure inner form of split even orthogonal group as $\det(\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}) = 1$.

**(b) Discrete unipotent representations:** We follow the definition of unipotent representations given by Lusztig in [26]. In [26], $\pi(\phi,\chi)$ is a unipotent representation if and only if $\phi$ is trivial on the inertia subgroup $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_F$ of the local Weil group $\mathcal{W}_F$, and such a $\phi$ is called a unipotent local $L$-parameter. We apply the local descent method to give an explicit description on the descent of discrete unipotent representations.

Denote $\xi_{un} = (\cdot,\epsilon)_F$ to be the nontrivial unramified quadratic character of $F^\times$, which is also regarded as a character $\mathcal{W}_F$ via the local class field theory. Here $\epsilon$ is a non-square element in $F$ with absolute value 1. Let $\varphi$ be a discrete unipotent $L$-parameter. Then it is of form

$$\varphi = \begin{cases} \boxplus_{i=1}^r 1 \boxtimes \mu_{2a_i} \boxplus \boxplus_{j=1}^s \xi_{un} \boxtimes \mu_{2b_j}, & \text{if } G_n = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1} \\ \boxplus_{i=1}^r 1 \boxtimes \mu_{2a_i+1} \boxplus \boxplus_{j=1}^s \xi_{un} \boxtimes \mu_{2b_j+1}, & \text{if } G_n = \mathrm{SO}_{2n}. \end{cases} \tag{4.40}$$

Recall the calculation on the local root number from Example 4.3. We obtain the following.

**Corollary 4.13.** *Let $\pi(\varphi,\eta)$ be a discrete unipotent representation of $G_n = \mathrm{SO}(W_{2n+1})$. Then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ is irreducible and*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi) = \begin{cases} \pi_a(\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}},\zeta) & \text{if } \mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}) = \det(\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}})\mathrm{disc}(W) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

*where $\zeta = \chi^\star_{\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}}(\varphi,\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}})$ and $a = -\det(\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}})\mathrm{disc}(W)$.*

Note that when $G_n = \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n+1})$, the descent $\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}$ is invariant under $c$-conjugate. Thus, the local descent $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ is an irreducible unipotent discrete representation.

Now, let us consider the case $G_n = \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$. Suppose that an irreducible unipotent discrete representation $\pi$ in the $L$-packet $\Pi_\varphi(G_n)$. One can choose a local Langlands correspondence $\iota_a$ such that $\pi = \pi_a(\varphi,\eta)$ with the condition that $\eta(1_{1\boxtimes\mu_{2a_r+1}\boxplus\xi_{un}\boxtimes\mu_{2b_s+1}}) = 1$. In fact, let us consider the action of $\mathcal{Z}$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\varphi$. In this case, $\det\varphi = \epsilon^s$. Recall that the character $\eta_\varpi$ (defined in Section 2.2) is given by

$$\eta_\varpi(((e_i),(\mathfrak{e}_j))) = \prod_{j=1}^s (-1)^{\mathfrak{e}_j},$$

where $\varpi$ is a uniformizer in $F$. For any $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\varphi$, consider the action $\langle\eta_\varpi\rangle$ on $\chi$. Then one of the values of $\chi$ and $\chi\otimes\eta_\varpi$ on $1_{1\boxtimes\mu_{2a_r+1}\boxplus\xi_{un}\boxtimes\mu_{2b_s+1}}$

is 1. Recall that $\chi$ and $\chi \otimes \eta_\varpi$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\varphi$ can be associated to the same representation under the different local Langlands correspondences.

**Corollary 4.14.** *Let $\pi$ be a discrete unipotent representation of $G_n = \mathrm{SO}(V_{2n})$ in $\Pi_\varphi[G_n^*]$. Choose the local Langlands correspondence such that $\pi = \pi_a(\pi, \eta)$ with $\eta(1_{1\boxtimes\mu_{2a_r+1}}\boxplus\xi_{un}\boxtimes\mu_{2b_s+1}) = 1$. Then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi)$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SO}(W_{2m+1})$ with $m = \dim \varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}/2$ and*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}}(\pi) = \begin{cases} \pi(\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}, \zeta) & \text{if } \mathrm{disc}(\mathcal{O}_{\ell_0}) = a \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

*where $\zeta = \chi^\star_{\varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}}}(\varphi, \varphi_{\mathrm{sgn}})$, $\mathrm{disc}(W) = -a\epsilon^s$ and*

$$\mathrm{Hss}(W) = (-1,-1)^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}}((-1)^{m+1}, \mathrm{disc}(W))\eta((1)).$$

## References


[1] Aizenbud, A.; Avni, N.; Gourevitch, D. *Spherical pairs over close local fields*. Comment. Math. Helv. 87 (2012), no. 4, 929–962.

[2] Aizenbud, A.; Gourevitch, D.; Rallis, S.; Schiffmann, G. *Multiplicity one theorems*. Ann. of Math. (2) 172 (2010), no. 2, 1407–1434.

[3] Arthur, J. *The endoscopic classification of representations. Orthogonal and symplectic groups.* American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 61. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013.

[4] Atobe, H., Gan, W. *On the local Langlands correspondence for quasi-split even orthogonal groups.* arXiv:1602.01297 (2016).

[5] Aubert, A.-M.; Moussaoui, A.; Solleveld, M.. *Generalizations of the Springer correspondence and cuspidal Langlands parameters.* arXiv:1511.05335 (2015).

[6] Bernstein, J. *Stacks in Representation Theory*. arXiv:1410.0435.

[7] Bernstein, J.; Zelevinsky, A. *Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups. I.* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 10 (1977), no. 4, 441–472.

[8] Bushnell, C.; Kutzko, P. *The admissible dual of* $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ *via compact open subgroups.* Annals of Mathematics Studies, 129. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[9] Clozel, L. *Spectral theory of automorphic forms.* Automorphic forms and applications, 43–93, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 12, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.

[10] Clozel, L. *The ABS principle: consequences for* $L^2(G/H)$. On certain L-functions, 99–106, Clay Math. Proc., 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.

[11] Gan, W. T.; Gross, B.; Prasad, D.; *Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L-values and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups.* Astérisque No. 346 (2012), 1-110.

[12] Ginzburg, D.; Rallis, S.; Soudry, D. *The descent map from automorphic representations of GL(n) to classical groups.* World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2011.

[13] Gross, B.; Reeder, M. *From Laplace to Langlands via representations of orthogonal groups*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 43 (2006), no. 2, 163–205.

[14] Gross, B.; Reeder, M. *Arithmetic invariants of discrete Langlands parameters.* Duke Math. J. 154 (2010), no. 3, 431–508.

[15] Harris, M.; Taylor, R. *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties. With an appendix by Vladimir G. Berkovich.* Annals of Mathematics Studies, 151. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001.

[16] Henniart, G. *Une preuve simple des conjectures de Langlands pour $GL(n)$ sur un corps p-adique*. (French) Invent. Math. 139 (2000), no. 2, 439–455.

[17] Jacquet, H., Piatetski-Shapiro, I. and Shalika, J. *Rankin–Selberg convolutions*. Amer. J. Math. 105. (1983), 367–464.

[18] Jiang, D.; Nien, C.-F.; Qin, Y. *Symplectic supercuspidal representations of* $\mathrm{GL}(2n)$ *over p-adic fields*. Pacific J. Math. 245 (2010), no. 2, 273–313.

[19] Jiang, D.; Soudry, D. *Local converse theorem for* $\mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$ *and applications*. Ann. of Math. 157(2003), 743–806

[20] Jiang, D.; Soudry, D. *On local descent from* $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ *to classical groups*. Amer. J. of Math, Volume 134, Number 3, 2012, 767–772.

[21] Jiang, D.; Sun, B.; Zhu, C.-B. *Uniqueness of Bessel models: the archimedean case*. Geom. Funct. Anal. Vol. 20 (2010) 690–709.

[22] Jiang, D.; Zhang, L. *Arthur parameters and cuspidal automorphic modules of classical groups*. submitted 2015.

[23] Jiang, D.; Zhang, L. *Automorphic Integral Transforms for Classical Groups II: Twisted Descents*. accepted by Roger Howe's 70-th birthday volume. 2016.

[24] Jiang, D.; Zhang, L. *Arthur parameters and cuspidal automorphic modules of classical groups II, Fourier-Jacobi case*. in preparation 2016.

[25] Kaletha, T. *Rigid inner forms of real and p-adic groups.* Ann. of Math. Volume 184 (2016), Issue 2, 559–632.

[26] Lusztig, G. *Classification of unipotent representations of simple p-adic groups.* Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1995, no. 11, 517589.

[27] Mœglin, C.; Waldspurger, J.-L. *La conjecture locale de Gross-Prasad pour les groupes spéciaux orthogonaux: le cas général.* Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. II. Astérisque No. 347 (2012), 167–216.

[28] Mœglin, C.; Waldspurger, J.-L. *La stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue.* preprint, 2015.

[29] O'Meara, O. *Introduction to quadratic forms.* Reprint of the 1973 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[30] Moussaoui, A. *Centre de Bernstein enrichi pour les groupes classiques.* arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.02521.

[31] Sun, B.; Zhu, C.-B.; *Multiplicity one theorems: the Archimedean case.* Ann. of Math. (2) 175 (2012), no. 1, 23–44.

[32] Tate, J. *Number theoretic background.* Automorphic forms, representations and $L$-functions. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Part 2, pp. 3–26, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[33] Waldspurger, J.-L. *Une formule intégrale reliée à la conjecture locale de Gross-Prasad.* Compos. Math. 146 (2010), no. 5, 1180–1290.

[34] Waldspurger, J.-L. *Une formule intégrale reliée à la conjecture locale de Gross-Prasad, 2e partie: extension aux représentations tempérées.* Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. I. Astérisque No. 346 (2012), 171–312.

[35] Waldspurger, J.-L. *La conjecture locale de Gross-Prasad pour les représentations tempérées des groupes spéciaux orthogonaux.* Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. II. Astérisque No. 347 (2012), 103–165.

School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

*E-mail address*: dhjiang@math.umn.edu

Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, Singapore 119076

*E-mail address*: matzhlei@nus.edu.sg